arXiv:1606.06534v1 [physics.plasm-ph] 21 Jun 2016

Equivalent Higher-order Guiding-center Hamiltonian Theories

A. J. Brizard[1]† **and N. Tronko**[2]

[1]Department of Physics, Saint Michael's College, Colchester, VT 05439, USA

[2]Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 85748 Garching, Germany

(Received ?; revised ?; accepted ?. - To be entered by editorial office)

Equivalent guiding-center Hamiltonian theories are constructed based on higher-order Lie-transform perturbation methods. Higher-order guiding-center theories are distinguished on the basis of whether correction terms associated with magnetic-field nonuniformity appear either in the guiding-center symplectic (Poisson-bracket) structure, in the guiding-center Hamiltonian, or both. These theories are called equivalent because they describe the same guiding-center magnetic-moment invariant. The present work presents the detailed derivations of results that were summarized in a recent paper by Tronko and Brizard [Phys. Plasmas **22**, 112507 (2015)].

1. Introduction

The concept of equivalent Hamiltonian theories plays a useful role in gyrokinetic theory (Hahm *et al.* 1988; Brizard & Hahm 2007), where magnetic-field perturbations either appear in the gyrocenter Hamiltonian (in the Hamiltonian representation) or the gyrocenter Poisson bracket (in the symplectic representation). These gyrokinetic theories are said to be equivalent since they both use the same definition for the gyrocenter magnetic moment (Brizard & Hahm 2007). In the Hamiltonian representation, the parallel gyrocenter momentum $\Pi_\parallel$ that appears in the gyrocenter symplectic structure is interpreted as a canonical momentum and, thus, the gyrocenter Hamiltonian is expressed in terms of the gauge-independent combination $\Pi_\parallel - (e/c)\,A_{1\parallel \rm gc}$, where $A_{1\parallel \rm gc}$ denotes the parallel component of the perturbed magnetic vector potential (expressed in terms of guiding-center coordinates). In the symplectic representation, on the other hand, the magnetic perturbation term $A_{1\parallel \rm gc}$ appears explicitly in the gyrocenter symplectic structure, which also includes the parallel gyrocenter (kinetic) momentum $p_\parallel = mv_\parallel$. In the symplectic representation of gyrokinetic theory, the parallel component of the inductive electric field now appears explicitly in the gyrocenter equation of motion for $p_\parallel$, while it is absent in the gyrocenter equation of motion for $\Pi_\parallel$ in the Hamiltonian representation. Further discussion of the equivalent representations of gyrokinetic theory can be found in the review paper of Brizard & Hahm (2007).

The purpose of the present paper is to construct equivalent higher-order guiding-center Hamiltonian theories in which higher-order corrections associated with magnetic-field nonuniformity appear either in the guiding-center Poisson bracket (symplectic structure) or the guiding-center Hamiltonian. The main results presented here were summarized in a recent paper by Tronko & Brizard (2015) and a recent review of guiding-center Hamiltonian theory was presented by Cary & Brizard (2009). The material contained in this manuscript is presented in tutorial form, with detailed calculations appearing in the literature for the first time.

† Email address for correspondence: abrizard@smcvt.edu

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we present a summary of the general formulation of guiding-center Hamiltonian theory, in which corrections associated with magnetic-field nonuniformity appear at all orders in the guiding-center Hamiltonian and/or the guiding-center Poisson bracket. In Sec. 3, the formulation of Lie-transform perturbation theory for the Lagrange one-form is presented up to fourth order in the ordering parameter ϵ, which are explicitly solved in Secs. 4-7. The ordering parameter ϵ is used in the renormalization of the electric charge $e \to e/\epsilon$ that appears in the *macroscopic* view of guiding-center dynamics, in which the magnetic-nonuniformity length scale is finite while the gyroradius is small. In Secs. 8-9, we present the Jacobian and Lagrangian constraints that establish the consistency of the guiding-center phase-space transformation. In Sec. 10, we derive the guiding-center polarization directly from the guiding-center transformation, which further constrains the transformation, and discuss the conservation of the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum. In Sec. 11, we summarize our work. Lastly, the Appendices A-G provide a wealth of results that support the material presented in the text.

2. Guiding-center Hamiltonian Theory

Guiding-center Hamiltonian dynamics is expressed in terms of a guiding-center Hamiltonian function that depends on the guiding-center position $\mathbf{X}$, the guiding-center parallel momentum $p_\parallel$, and the guiding-center gyroaction $J \equiv \mu B/\Omega$ (defined in terms of the guiding-center magnetic moment μ and the gyrofrequency $\Omega = eB/mc$ for a particle of mass m and charge e); it is, however, independent of the gyroangle θ at all orders. Since the guiding-center phase-space coordinates are non-canonical coordinates, a non-canonical guiding-center Poisson bracket is also needed. For the sake of simplicity, the guiding-center Hamiltonian theory is presented here for a time-independent nonuniform magnetic field in the absence of an electric field.

In this Section, we summarize the results of the Hamiltonian formulation of guiding-center dynamics in a nonuniform magnetic field (Tronko & Brizard 2015). Here, the guiding-center Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H_{\rm gc} \equiv \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m} + \Psi, \tag{2.1}$$

where the effective guiding-center potential energy

$$\Psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \Psi_n \equiv J\,\Omega + \epsilon\,\Psi_1 + \epsilon^2\,\Psi_2 + \cdots \tag{2.2}$$

is defined in terms of higher-order corrections Ψ_n ($n \geq 1$) that vanish in a uniform magnetic field. The guiding-center symplectic structure, on the other hand, is expressed in terms of the guiding-center Poincaré-Cartan one-form

$$\Gamma_{\rm gc} \equiv \left(\frac{e}{\epsilon c}\,\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{\Pi}\right) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} + \epsilon\, J\,(\mathsf{d}\theta - \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}), \tag{2.3}$$

where the symplectic guiding-center momentum

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n\,\mathbf{\Pi}_n \equiv p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \epsilon\,\mathbf{\Pi}_1 + \epsilon^2\,\mathbf{\Pi}_2 + \cdots \tag{2.4}$$

is expressed in terms of the gyroangle-independent vector terms $\mathbf{\Pi}_n$ ($n \geq 1$), which contain corrections due to magnetic-field nonuniformity, and the presence of the gyrogauge

vector $\mathbf{R} \equiv \nabla\widehat{1}\cdot\widehat{2}$ (where $\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \equiv \widehat{1}\times\widehat{2}$) guarantees that the guiding-center one-form (2.3) is gyrogauge-invariant (see App. A).

2.1. *Guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equations*

The guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.1) and the guiding-center Poincaré-Cartan one-form (2.3) can be used to construct the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\rm gc} \;\equiv\; \Gamma_{\rm gc} \;-\; H_{\rm gc}\,\mathsf{d}t \equiv \left[\left(\frac{e}{\epsilon c}\,\mathbf{A} + p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon^n\,\mathbf{\Pi}_n\right)\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; \epsilon\,J\,\left(\mathsf{d}\theta \;-\; \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}\right)\right] \\ -\left(\frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m} \;+\; J\,\Omega \;+\; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon^n\,\Psi_n\right)\,\mathsf{d}t. \qquad (2.5)\end{aligned}$$

By using the guiding-center variational principle

$$0 \;=\; \int \delta\Lambda_{\rm gc} \;=\; \int \delta Z^\alpha\left[(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc})_{\alpha\beta}\,dZ^\beta \;-\; \frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial Z^\alpha}\,dt\right], \qquad (2.6)$$

we obtain the guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equations

$$(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc})_{\alpha\beta}\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}Z^\beta}{dt} \;=\; \frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial Z^\alpha}. \qquad (2.7)$$

Here, the matrix elements $(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc})_{\alpha\beta}$ are the components of the guiding-center Lagrange two-form

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc} \equiv \mathsf{d}\Gamma_{\rm gc} = \frac{eB^{*k}}{2\,\epsilon c}\,\varepsilon_{ijk}\,\mathsf{d}X^i\wedge\mathsf{d}X^j + \mathsf{d}p_\parallel\wedge\mathsf{b}^*\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} + \epsilon\,\mathbf{R}^*\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}\wedge\mathsf{d}J + \epsilon\,\mathsf{d}J\wedge\mathsf{d}\theta, \quad (2.8)$$

where ε_{ijk} denotes the Levi-Civita tensor and we use the definitions

$$\mathbf{B}^* \equiv \nabla\times\left[\mathbf{A} \;+\; \frac{c}{e}\left(\epsilon\,\mathbf{\Pi} \;-\; \epsilon^2\,J\,\mathbf{R}\right)\right] \;=\; \mathbf{B} \;+\; \frac{\epsilon c}{e}\,p_\parallel\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \cdots, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\mathsf{b}^* \equiv \frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}}{\partial p_\parallel} \;=\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \epsilon\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel} \;+\; \epsilon^2\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_2}{\partial p_\parallel} \;+\; \cdots, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\mathbf{R}^* \equiv \mathbf{R} \;-\; \epsilon^{-1}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}}{\partial J} \;=\; \mathbf{R} \;-\; \frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_1}{\partial J} \;-\; \epsilon\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_2}{\partial J} \;+\; \cdots. \qquad (2.11)$$

We note that the fields $\mathbf{B}^*$ and b^* satisfy the identities

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}^* \;&\equiv\; 0 \\ \partial\mathbf{B}^*/\partial p_\parallel \;&\equiv\; \epsilon\,(c/e)\,\nabla\times\mathsf{b}^*\end{aligned}\right\}, \qquad (2.12)$$

which will play an important role in the properties of the guiding-center Poisson bracket.

Using the components of the guiding-center Lagrange two-form (2.8), the guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equations (2.7) become

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\times\frac{e\mathbf{B}^*}{\epsilon\,c} \;-\; \mathsf{b}^*\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}p_\parallel}{dt} \;+\; \epsilon\,\mathbf{R}^*\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}J}{dt} = \nabla H_{\rm gc}, \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\mathsf{b}^*\cdot\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial p_\parallel}, \qquad (2.14)$$

$$\epsilon\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}\theta}{dt} \;-\; \epsilon\,\mathbf{R}^*\cdot\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial J}, \qquad (2.15)$$

$$-\,\epsilon\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}J}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial\theta}. \qquad (2.16)$$

Since the guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.1) is explicitly independent of the gyroangle θ, the guiding-center gyroaction J is, therefore, an exact guiding-center invariant in Eqs. (2.13) and (2.16):

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}J}{dt} \;=\; -\,\epsilon^{-1}\,\frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial\theta} \;\equiv\; 0; \tag{2.17}$$

it is still, however, an adiabatic invariant of the exact particle dynamics. The guiding-center equation for the gyroangle θ is given by Eq. (2.15) as

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}\theta}{dt} \;=\; \epsilon^{-1}\frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial J} \;+\; \mathbf{R}^*\cdot\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}, \tag{2.18}$$

where the first term includes the lowest-order gyrofrequency as well as higher-order corrections due to magnetic-field nonuniformity, which are also included in the second term (involving the gyrogauge vector $\mathbf{R}$).

The remaining guiding-center equations of motion for the guiding-center position $\mathbf{X}$ and the guiding-center parallel kinetic momentum $p_\parallel$ are obtained from Eqs. (2.13)-(2.14) as follows. First, we take the cross-product of Eq. (2.13) with b^* and use Eq. (2.14) to obtain the guiding-center equation of motion for $\mathbf{X}$:

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} \;=\; \frac{\partial H_{\rm gc}}{\partial p_\parallel}\,\frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B^{**}_\parallel} \;+\; \epsilon\,\frac{c\,\mathsf{b}^*}{eB^{**}_\parallel}\times\nabla H_{\rm gc}, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$B^{**}_\parallel \;\equiv\; \mathsf{b}^*\cdot\mathbf{B}^* = \left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}+\epsilon\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel}+\cdots\right)\cdot\mathbf{B}^* \;=\; B^*_\parallel \;+\; \epsilon\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{B}^*+\cdots. \tag{2.20}$$

Next, the guiding-center equation of motion for $p_\parallel$ is obtained by taking the dot-product of Eq. (2.13) with $\mathbf{B}^*$, which yields

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}p_\parallel}{dt} \;=\; -\,\frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B^{**}_\parallel}\cdot\nabla H_{\rm gc}. \tag{2.21}$$

We note that Eqs. (2.19) and (2.21) satisfy the guiding-center Liouville theorem

$$\nabla\cdot\left(B^{**}_\parallel\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\right) \;+\; \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(B^{**}_\parallel\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}p_\parallel}{dt}\right) \;=\; 0, \tag{2.22}$$

which follows from the identities (2.12).

2.2. *Guiding-center Hamilton equations*

We now wish to show that the guiding-center equations of motion (2.17)-(2.19) and (2.21) can be expressed in Hamiltonian form in terms of a guiding-center Poisson bracket $\{\ ,\ \}_{\rm gc}$ constructed from the guiding-center Lagrange two-form (2.8).

First, we note that the Lagrange component-matrix (2.8) is invertible if the guiding-center Jacobian does not vanish:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rm gc} \;\equiv\; \sqrt{\det(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc})} \;=\; \epsilon\,\mathsf{b}^*\cdot\left(\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\mathbf{B}^*\right) \;\equiv\; \frac{e}{c}\,B^{**}_\parallel \;\neq\; 0, \tag{2.23}$$

which is consistent with the guiding-center ordering itself. We note here that, since $B^{**}_\parallel = B\,(1+\epsilon\,\varrho_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}+\cdots)$ up to the first order in ϵ, we might be concerned with the possibility of $B^{**}_\parallel$ vanishing if the parallel guiding-center velocity $v_\parallel$ is large enough, i.e., when $|v_\parallel| = |v_{\parallel{\rm cr}}| \equiv L_\tau\Omega$, where $L_\tau \equiv |\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}|^{-1}$. If we introduce the ordering $L_\tau = \rho_{\rm th}\,\epsilon_B^{-1}$ expressed in terms of the thermal gyroradius $\rho_{\rm th} = v_{\rm th}/\Omega$, we then obtain the ordering $|v_{\parallel{\rm cr}}|/v_{\rm th} = \epsilon_B^{-1} \gg 1$. Hence, only (extreme) superthermal

parallel guiding-center motion would cause the guiding-center Jacobian to become singular. Under those circumstances, however, the standard guiding-center orderings would also break down (e.g., the curvature-drift motion would now be ordered at ϵ_B^{-1}), and thus, the guiding-center theory would become invalid for these superthermal particles. In any case, we note that the removal of this singularity can also be accomplished by a process of regularization of guiding-center theory (Correa-Restrepo & Wimmel 1985; Correa-Restrepo *et al.* 1986).

Next, we invert the guiding-center Lagrange matrix defined in Eq. (2.8) to construct the guiding-center Poisson matrix with components $J_{\rm gc}^{\alpha\beta}$, such that $J_{\rm gc}^{\alpha\nu}\,(\omega_{\rm gc})_{\nu\beta} \equiv \delta^{\alpha}_{\ \beta}$. Lastly, we construct the guiding-center Poisson bracket $\{F,\ G\}_{\rm gc} \equiv (\partial F/\partial Z^{\alpha})\,J_{\rm gc}^{\alpha\beta}\,(\partial G/\partial Z^{\beta})$:

$$\Big\{F,\ G\Big\}_{\rm gc} = \epsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial G}{\partial J}\ -\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial J}\frac{\partial G}{\partial\theta}\right)\ +\ \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^{**}}\cdot\left(\nabla^* F\,\frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{\parallel}}\ -\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{\parallel}}\,\nabla^* G\right) - \frac{\epsilon\, c\mathsf{b}^*}{e\,B_{\parallel}^{**}}\cdot\nabla^* F\times\nabla^* G, \tag{2.24}$$

where the modified gradient operator $\nabla^* \equiv \nabla + \mathbf{R}^*\partial/\partial\theta$ is gyrogauge-invariant. The derivation procedure of the guiding-center Poisson bracket (2.24) guarantees that it satisfies the standard Poisson-bracket properties, while the guiding-center Jacobian (2.23) can be used to write Eq. (2.24) in phase-space divergence form

$$\Big\{F,\ G\Big\}_{\rm gc}\ =\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_{\rm gc}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\alpha}}\Big(\mathcal{J}_{\rm gc}\ F\ \{Z^{\alpha},\ G\}_{\rm gc}\Big)\,. \tag{2.25}$$

The guiding-center equations of motion (2.17)-(2.19) and (2.21) can thus be expressed in Hamiltonian form as

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}Z^{\alpha}}{dt}\ \equiv\ \{Z^{\alpha},\ H_{\rm gc}\}_{\rm gc}. \tag{2.26}$$

Lastly, it will later be useful to expand Eqs. (2.19) and (2.21) in powers of ϵ as

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}Z^{\alpha}}{dt}\ \equiv\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\ \epsilon^n\ \frac{d_n^* Z^{\alpha}}{dt}, \tag{2.27}$$

so that, without expanding $B_{\parallel}^{**}$, we find the guiding-center velocity at the first three orders:

$$\frac{d_0^*\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{p_{\parallel}}{m}\,\frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_{\parallel}^{**}}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\frac{d_1^*\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{\partial\Psi_1}{\partial p_{\parallel}}\frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_{\parallel}^{**}}\ +\ \frac{c}{e\,B_{\parallel}^{**}}\left(\frac{p_{\parallel}^2}{m}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}+\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla(J\Omega)\right), \tag{2.29}$$

$$\frac{d_2^*\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_{\parallel}}\frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_{\parallel}^{**}}\ +\ \frac{c}{e\,B_{\parallel}^{**}}\left[\frac{p_{\parallel}}{m}\,\nabla\times(\mathbf{\Pi}_1 - J\,\mathbf{R})\ +\ \frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_{\parallel}}\times\nabla(J\,\Omega)\right] + \frac{c}{e\,B_{\parallel}^{**}}\left(p_{\parallel}\,\frac{\partial\Psi_1}{\partial p_{\parallel}}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\ +\ \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\Psi_1\right), \tag{2.30}$$

where Eq. (2.28) represents the parallel motion along magnetic-field lines, Eq. (2.29) represents the first-order parallel Baños drift velocity (Banos 1967), defined as $\partial\Psi_1/\partial p_{\parallel}$, as well as the perpendicular magnetic drift velocities, and Eq. (2.30) represents second-order corrections. The guiding-center force equation, on the other hand, is given at the

first three orders as

$$\frac{d_0^* p_\parallel}{dt} = -\frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_\parallel^{**}}\cdot\nabla(J\,\Omega) \;=\; J\,\Omega\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\,\frac{B}{B_\parallel^{**}}, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\frac{d_1^* p_\parallel}{dt} = -\frac{c p_\parallel}{e\,B_\parallel^{**}}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla(J\,\Omega) \;-\; \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_\parallel^{**}}\cdot\nabla\Psi_1, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\frac{d_2^* p_\parallel}{dt} = -\frac{c}{e\,B_\parallel^{**}}\left[p_\parallel\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\Psi_1 + \nabla\times(\mathbf{\Pi}_1 - J\,\mathbf{R})\cdot\nabla(J\,\Omega)\right] - \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_\parallel^{**}}\cdot\nabla\Psi_2, \tag{2.33}$$

which includes the standard magnetic mirror force (2.31) as well as higher-order corrections (2.32)-(2.33). These guiding-center equations satisfy the guiding-center Liouville theorem (2.22) separately:

$$\nabla\cdot\left(B_\parallel^{**}\,\frac{d_n^*\mathbf{X}}{dt}\right) \;+\; \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(B_\parallel^{**}\,\frac{d_n^* p_\parallel}{dt}\right) \;=\; 0$$

at each order ϵ^n (for $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$). We will also need the expression

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}\theta}{dt} = \epsilon^{-1}\,\Omega \;+\; \frac{\partial\Psi_1}{\partial J} \;+\; \frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\left(\mathbf{R} - \frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_1}{\partial J}\right) \;+\; \cdots, \tag{2.34}$$

where the first term ($\epsilon^{-1}\Omega$) is dominant while the remaining terms (except for the gyrogauge term) vanish in a uniform magnetic field.

2.3. *Equivalent guiding-center representations*

The guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.1) and the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian (2.3) are defined in terms of the scalar field Ψ and the vector field $\mathbf{\Pi}$. In a purely *Hamiltonian* representation:

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{\Pi} &\equiv& p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \\ \Psi &\equiv& J\,\Omega + \epsilon\,\Psi_1 + \epsilon^2\,\Psi_2 + \cdots \end{array}\right\}, \tag{2.35}$$

the vector field $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is independent of the gyroaction J, while the scalar field Ψ contains all the correction terms associated with the nonuniformity of the magnetic field. Hence, in the Hamiltonian representation, the vector field b^* in Eq. (2.19) is $\mathsf{b}^* \equiv \partial\mathbf{\Pi}/\partial p_\parallel = \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ while $\mathbf{R}^* \equiv \mathbf{R} - \partial\mathbf{\Pi}/\partial J = \mathbf{R}$ in Eq. (2.18). This representation simplifies the guiding-center Poisson bracket (2.24) at the expense of the guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.1).

In a purely *symplectic* representation:

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{\Pi} &\equiv& p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \epsilon\,\mathbf{\Pi}_1 + \cdots \\ \Psi &\equiv& J\,\Omega \end{array}\right\}, \tag{2.36}$$

the scalar field Ψ is independent of the parallel momentum $p_\parallel$, while the vector field $\mathbf{\Pi}$ contains all the correction terms associated with the nonuniformity of the magnetic field. Hence, the vector fields b^* and $\mathbf{R}^*$ are defined in terms of the expressions (2.10)-(2.11), respectively. This representation simplifies the guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.1) at the expense of the guiding-center Poisson bracket (2.24).

In the perturbation analysis presented below, it will be shown that a purely symplectic representation is impossible, i.e., $\Psi_n = 0$ cannot be chosen at all orders $n \geq 2$ in ϵ [for example, see Eq. (7.13) for $n = 2$]. Instead, it is sometimes convenient to use a mixed representation in which the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian (2.5) is expressed with

the symplectic part and the Hamiltonian part contain magnetic-nonuniformity correction terms. In standard guiding-center and gyrokinetic theories, for example, we find $\Psi_1 \equiv 0$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_1 \neq 0$ at first order, which will also be adopted in the present work, while $\Psi_2 \neq 0$ must be chosen at second order.

3. Guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian: Lie-transform Derivation

The derivation of the guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.1) and the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian (2.3) by Lie-transform phase-space Lagrangian perturbation method is based on a phase-space transformation from the (local) particle phase-space coordinates $z_0^\alpha = (\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel 0}; J_0, \theta_0)$ to the guiding-center phase-space coordinates $Z^\alpha = (\mathbf{X}, p_\parallel; J, \theta)$ generated by the vector fields $(\mathsf{G}_1, \mathsf{G}_2, \cdots)$:

$$Z^\alpha \;=\; z_0^\alpha \;+\; \epsilon\, G_1^\alpha \;+\; \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\, \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} G_1^\alpha \right) \;+\; \cdots , \tag{3.1}$$

and its inverse

$$z_0^\alpha \;=\; Z^\alpha \;-\; \epsilon\, G_1^\alpha \;-\; \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\, \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} G_1^\alpha \right) \;+\; \cdots . \tag{3.2}$$

Here, we adopt the macroscopic view in which the electric charge e is renormalized $e \to e/\epsilon$, with the ordering $\epsilon \ll 1$ being consistent with the strong magnetic-field limit. The local particle phase-space coordinates z_0^α are defined as the particle position $\mathbf{x}$ and the particle momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_0 \;\equiv\; p_{\parallel 0}\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \;+\; \mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}(J_0, \theta_0; \mathbf{x}) \tag{3.3}$$

is decomposed into parallel and perpendicular components $p_{\parallel 0} \equiv \mathbf{p}_0 \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0} \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times (\mathbf{p}_0 \times \widehat{\mathsf{b}})$, respectively, with $J_0 \equiv |\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}|^2/(2m\Omega)$ and $\partial \mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}/\partial \theta_0 \equiv \mathbf{p}_{\perp 0} \times \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$.

The guiding-center Jacobian (2.23) associated with the phase-space transformation (3.1) is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\rm gc} &\equiv \mathcal{J}_0 \;-\; \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left[\mathcal{J}_0 \left(\epsilon\, G_1^\alpha \;+\; \epsilon^2\, G_2^\alpha + \cdots \right) \;-\; \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\, G_1^\alpha\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} \left(\mathcal{J}_0\, G_1^\beta + \cdots \right) \;+\; \cdots \right] \\ &= \mathcal{J}_0 \;+\; \epsilon\, \mathcal{J}_1 \;+\; \epsilon^2\, \mathcal{J}_2 \;+\; \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_0 \equiv e\, B(\mathbf{x})/c$ is the Jacobian for the transformation from the particle phase-space coordinates $z^\alpha = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ to the local particle phase-space coordinates z_0^α (i.e., $d^3x\, d^3p = \mathcal{J}_0\, d^3x\, dp_{\parallel 0} dJ_0 d\theta_0$).

Next, the effective guiding-center potential energy (2.2) is defined in terms of the guiding-center transformation as

$$\Psi_1 - \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\, \Pi_{1\parallel} \equiv -\,\Omega \left(G_1^J \;+\; J\, G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla \ln B \right) \;-\; \frac{p_\parallel}{m} \left(G_1^{p_\parallel} \;+\; \Pi_{1\parallel} \right), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\Psi_2 - \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\, \Pi_{2\parallel} \equiv -\,\Omega \left(G_2^J + J\, G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla \ln B \right) - \frac{p_\parallel}{m} \left(G_2^{p_\parallel} + \Pi_{2\parallel} \right) - \frac{1}{2}\, \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} \Psi_1, \tag{3.6}$$

where the last term in Eq. (3.6) depends on the choice for Ψ_1 made in Eq. (3.5). We note here that the components G_n^J $(n \geq 1)$ will be chosen independently from the representation $(\Psi_n, \Pi_{n\parallel})$ at all orders in order to guarantee equivalent guiding-center Hamiltonian theories.

Beginning with the local particle phase-space Lagrangian

$$\gamma \;\equiv\; \left(\frac{e}{\epsilon\, c}\, \mathbf{A} \;+\; \mathbf{p}_0 \right) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{x} \;=\; \epsilon^{-1}\, \gamma_0 \;+\; \gamma_1, \tag{3.7}$$

we derive the new (guiding-center) phase-space Lagrangian $\Gamma_{\rm gc}$:

$$\Gamma_{\rm gc} = \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\gamma \,+\, \mathsf{d}S \,\equiv\, \epsilon^{-1}\left(\Gamma_0 \,+\, \epsilon\,\Gamma_1 \,+\, \epsilon^2\,\Gamma_2 \,+\, \cdots\right), \tag{3.8}$$

where each perturbation term $\Gamma_n \equiv \Gamma_{n\alpha}\,\mathsf{d}Z^\alpha + \mathsf{d}S_n$ is expressed in terms of the symplectic components $\Gamma_{n\alpha}$ and the nth-order component of the phase-space gauge function $S \equiv S_1 + \epsilon\,S_2 + \cdots$. In Eq. (3.8), the *push-forward* operator $\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1} \equiv \cdots \exp(-\epsilon^2\,\pounds_2)\,\exp(-\epsilon\,\pounds_1)$ is defined in terms of the product of Lie-transforms $\exp(-\epsilon^n\,\pounds_n)$, where the nth-order Lie derivative $\pounds_n$ is generated by the nth-order vector field G_n. According to Cartan's formula (Littlejohn 1982), the Lie derivative $\pounds_G$ of a one-form γ yields the one-form

$$\pounds_G\gamma \equiv \iota_G\cdot\mathsf{d}\gamma \,+\, \mathsf{d}(\iota_G\cdot\gamma) \,=\, G^\alpha\,\omega_{\alpha\beta}\,\mathsf{d}z^\beta \,+\, \mathsf{d}\left(G^\alpha\,\gamma_\alpha\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Note that, according to this formula, the exterior derivative d and the Lie derivative $\pounds_G$ commute, i.e., $\pounds_G(\mathsf{d}\gamma) = \mathsf{d}(\pounds_G\gamma)$. Furthermore, an arbitrary exact exterior derivative $\mathsf{d}S$ can be added to the push-forward $\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\gamma$ in Eq. (3.8) without affecting the guiding-center two-form

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc} \equiv \mathsf{d}\Gamma_{\rm gc} \,=\, \mathsf{d}\left(\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\gamma\right) \,+\, \mathsf{d}^2S \,=\, \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\left(\mathsf{d}\gamma\right) \,=\, \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\omega}, \tag{3.10}$$

since d^2 for any k-form vanishes and the push-forward $\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}$ commutes with d (because all functions of Lie derivatives do).

When the push-forward $\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}$ and the phase-space gauge function S are expanded in powers of ϵ in Eq. (3.8), we obtain the zeroth-order equation

$$\Gamma_0 \,=\, \gamma_0 \,\equiv\, \frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X})\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}, \tag{3.11}$$

the first-order equation

$$\Gamma_1 \,=\, \gamma_1 \,-\, \pounds_1\,\gamma_0 \,+\, \mathsf{d}S_1 \,\equiv\, \gamma_1 \,-\, \iota_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \,+\, \mathsf{d}\sigma_1, \tag{3.12}$$

the second-order equation

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2 =& -\,\pounds_2\,\gamma_0 \,-\, \pounds_1\,\gamma_1 \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,\pounds_1^2\,\gamma_0 \,+\, \mathsf{d}S_2\\ \equiv& -\,\iota_2\cdot\omega_0 \,-\, \frac{1}{2}\,\iota_1\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \,+\, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1}\right) \,+\, \mathsf{d}\sigma_2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

the third-order equation

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_3 =& -\,\pounds_3\,\gamma_0 \,-\, \pounds_2\,\gamma_1 \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,\pounds_1^2\,\gamma_1 \,+\, \pounds_2\pounds_1\,\gamma_0 \,-\, \frac{1}{6}\,\pounds_1^3\,\gamma_0 \,+\, \mathsf{d}S_3\\ \equiv& -\iota_3\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \,-\, \iota_2\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1} \,+\, \frac{\iota_1}{3}\cdot\mathsf{d}\left(\iota_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 + \frac{\iota_1}{2}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1}\right) \,+\, \mathsf{d}\sigma_3,\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and the fourth-order equation

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_4 =& -\,\pounds_4\,\gamma_0 \,+\, \pounds_3\left(\pounds_1\gamma_0 \,-\, \gamma_1\right) \,+\, \pounds_2\left(\pounds_1\gamma_1 \,-\, \frac{1}{2}\,\pounds_1^2\gamma_0 \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,\pounds_2\gamma_0\right)\\ &-\,\frac{1}{6}\,\pounds_1^3\left(\gamma_1 \,-\, \frac{1}{4}\,\pounds_1\gamma_0\right) \,+\, \mathsf{d}S_4\\ \equiv& -\,\iota_4\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \,-\, \iota_3\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1} \,-\, \frac{\iota_2}{2}\cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc2} \,-\, \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{d}\left(\iota_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \,+\, \iota_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1}\right)\right]\\ &-\,\frac{\iota_1}{8}\cdot\mathsf{d}\left[\iota_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\left(\iota_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \,+\, \frac{\iota_1}{3}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1}\right)\right] \,+\, \mathsf{d}\sigma_4,\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $\iota_n\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_k = G_n^\alpha\,\omega_{k\alpha\beta}\,\mathsf{d}z^\beta$ and, since $\pounds_n\gamma_k = \iota_n\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_k + \mathsf{d}(G_n^\alpha\gamma_{k\alpha})$, we have redefined

the phase-space gauge functions $S_n \to \sigma_n$ by absorbing all exact exterior derivatives: $\mathsf{d}(\cdots) + \mathsf{d}S_n \equiv \mathsf{d}\sigma_n$ (i.e., $\sigma_1 \equiv S_1 - G_1^\alpha \gamma_{0\alpha}$). The phase-space gauge functions σ_n in Eqs. (3.12)-(3.15) are generally considered to be gyroangle-dependent functions (i.e., $\langle \sigma_n \rangle = 0$) but it is not a strict requirement. Note also that we use results obtained at lower orders to simplify expressions at each higher order (i.e., at second order, we use $\pounds_1\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2}\,\pounds_1^2\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2}\,\pounds_1\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2}\,\pounds_1\Gamma_1$).

In Eqs. (3.12)-(3.14), we need to evaluate the contractions $\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0$ generated by the vector fields $(\mathsf{G}_1, \mathsf{G}_2, \cdots)$ on the zeroth-order two-form:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = \mathsf{d}\gamma_0 \;=\; \frac{e}{c}\,\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x^i}\;\mathsf{d}X^i \,\wedge\, \mathsf{d}X^j \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{2}\,\omega_{0\,ij}\;\mathsf{d}X^i \,\wedge\, \mathsf{d}X^j, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\omega_{0\,ij} \equiv \varepsilon_{ijk}\,(e/c)\,B^k$ is defined in terms of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$. Using the contraction formula (3.9), we obtain the n^{th}-order expression

$$\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \;=\; \frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{B} \times G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $G_n^{\mathbf{x}}$ denote the spatial components of the nth-order generating vector field G_n.

Similarly, in Eqs. (3.13)-(3.14), we need to evaluate the contractions $\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_1$ generated by the vector fields $(\mathsf{G}_1, \mathsf{G}_2, \cdots)$ on the first-order two-form $\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 = \mathsf{d}\gamma_1$. When evaluated explicitly, we obtain the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$-order expression

$$\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \equiv D_n(p_{\parallel 0}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;-\; G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\;\mathsf{d}p_{\parallel 0} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial J_0}\;\mathsf{d}J_0 + \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial \theta_0}\;\mathsf{d}\theta_0\right), \tag{3.18}$$

where the spatial components are expressed in terms of the operator $D_n(\mathbf{C})$ defined as

$$D_n(\mathbf{C}) \equiv \left(G_n^{p_\parallel}\,\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p_{\parallel 0}} + G_n^J\,\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial J_0} + G_n^\theta\,\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial \theta_0}\right) \;-\; G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{C}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ is an arbitrary vector function on guiding-center phase space. In what follows, unless it is necessary, we will omit writing the subscript 0 on local particle phase-space coordinates, i.e., $p_{\parallel 0}$ is written as $p_\parallel$.

In the next sections (Secs. 4-7), we will progressively solve for the components G_n^α $(n \geq 1)$ up to second order in ϵ_B: at order ϵ_B^0, we will obtain $G_1^{\mathbf{x}}$; at order ϵ_B, we will obtain $(G_1^{p_\parallel}, G_1^J, G_1^\theta)$ and $G_2^{\mathbf{x}}$; and at order ϵ_B^2, we will obtain $(G_2^{p_\parallel}, G_2^J, G_2^\theta)$ and $G_3^{\mathbf{x}}$.

4. First-order Perturbation Analysis

We begin our perturbation analysis by considering the first-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (3.12), which is now explicitly written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1 &= \left(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \mathbf{p}_\perp\right) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;-\; \frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{B} \times G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; \mathsf{d}\sigma_1 \\
&= p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; \left(\mathbf{p}_\perp \;-\; \frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{B} \times G_1^{\mathbf{x}}\right) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; \mathsf{d}\sigma_1 \qquad (4.1) \\
&\equiv p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}, \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have separated the terms that are independent and dependent on the gyroangle θ in Eq. (4.1). It is immediately clear that the first-order phase-space gauge function σ_1 is not needed to remove the gyroangle dependence on the right side of Eq. (4.1), and thus we set $\sigma_1 \equiv 0$.

The spatial components $G_1^{\mathbf{x}}$ of the first-order generating vector field G_1 is determined by the condition

$$\mathbf{p}_\perp \;-\; (e/c)\,\mathbf{B} \times G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \;\equiv\; 0,$$

which removes the gyroangle dependence in the first-order phase-space Lagrangian (4.1). This condition can easily be solved as

$$G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \;=\; \left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot G_1^{\mathbf{x}}\right)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \frac{c\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{eB}\times\mathbf{p}_\perp \;\equiv\; G_{1\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \tag{4.3}$$

where $G_{1\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot G_1^{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the parallel component of $G_1^{\mathbf{x}}$, which is undetermined at this order. Here, the gyroangle-dependent vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$ represents the lowest-order displacement between the particle position $\mathbf{x}$ and the guiding-center position $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_0$.

With $\sigma_1 \equiv 0$ in Eq. (4.1) and $G_1^{\mathbf{x}}$ defined by Eq. (4.3), the resulting first-order guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian is given Eq. (4.2), where all spatially-dependent fields are now evaluated at the guiding-center position $\mathbf{X}$. Hence, we obtain the nth-order contraction

$$\iota_n\cdot\omega_{\rm gc1} \;\equiv\; D_n(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;-\; G_{n\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathsf{d}p_\parallel, \tag{4.4}$$

where $G_{n\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot G_n^{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the parallel component of $G_n^{\mathbf{x}}$, and the spatial components in Eq. (4.4) are

$$D_n(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}) = \left(G_n^{p_\parallel} \;-\; p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot G_n^{\mathbf{x}}\right)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; p_\parallel\,\left(\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; G_{n\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right), \tag{4.5}$$

where the curl of $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$:

$$\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;\equiv\; \tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa} \tag{4.6}$$

is written in terms of the magnetic twist $\tau \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ (which is proportional to the plasma current density flowing along magnetic-field lines) and the magnetic curvature $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ (which is perpendicular to $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$: $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \equiv 0$).

5. Second-order Perturbation Analysis

We now proceed with the second-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (3.13), which is explicitly expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2 &= -\,\left[\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{B}\times G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)\right]\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,G_1^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J}\,\mathsf{d}J + \frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}\,\mathsf{d}\theta\right)\\ &= -\,\left[\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{B}\times G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)\right]\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; J\,\mathsf{d}\theta \qquad (5.1)\\ &\equiv \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; J\,\left(\mathsf{d}\theta \;-\; \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}\right), \qquad (5.2)\end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation

$$\mathbf{P}_2 \;\equiv\; p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{p}_\perp, \tag{5.3}$$

and we used $\sigma_2 \equiv 0$ with $G_1^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp/\partial J = 0$ and $G_1^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp/\partial\theta = 2\,J$. Since $G_{1\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv -\,\partial\sigma_2/\partial p_\parallel \equiv 0$, the spatial component of G_1 is now exactly

$$G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \;=\; -\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \tag{5.4}$$

i.e., to lowest order, the displacement from the particle position $\mathbf{x}$ to the guiding-center position $\mathbf{X}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$.

Using Eqs. (3.19) and (4.5) for $n = 1$, with Eq. (5.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}D_1(\mathbf{P}_2) = &\left(G_1^{p_\parallel} \;+\; p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; p_\parallel\,\tau\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \;+\; J\left[\mathbf{R} \;-\; \left(\frac{\tau}{2} \;+\; \alpha_1\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right]\\ &+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\left(G_1^J \;-\; J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\right)\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\left(G_1^\theta \;+\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R}\right)\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}, \qquad (5.5)\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1 \equiv \mathsf{a}_1 : \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ is defined in App. A (here, $\langle\alpha_1\rangle = 0$). We note that $\mathbf{R}$ appears in Eq. (5.2) in order to satisfy the property of gyrogauge invariance. With this choice, we obtain the vector equation

$$J\,\mathbf{R} \;-\; \mathbf{\Pi}_1 \;\equiv\; \frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{B}\times G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; D_1(\mathbf{P}_2). \tag{5.6}$$

From the parallel components of Eq. (5.6), we obtain the first-order component

$$G_1^{p_\parallel} \;=\; -\,p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;+\; J\left(\frac{\tau}{2} \;+\; \alpha_1\right) \;-\; \Pi_{1\parallel}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\Pi_{1\parallel} \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_1$. By using the definition (3.5), on the other hand, we obtain the first-order component

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^J &\equiv J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B \;-\; \varrho_\parallel\,G_1^{p_\parallel} \;-\; \Psi_1/\Omega \\ &= \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \;-\; J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\left(\frac{\tau}{2} \;+\; \alpha_1\right) \;+\; \left(\varrho_\parallel\,\Pi_{1\parallel} - \frac{\Psi_1}{\Omega}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where we introduced the notation $\varrho_\parallel \equiv p_\parallel/(m\Omega)$. The gyroangle-averaged part of Eq. (5.8) yields the first-order guiding-center Hamiltonian constraint

$$\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{1\parallel} \;-\; \Psi_1 \;=\; \Omega\left(\langle G_1^J\rangle \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\right), \tag{5.9}$$

while the gyroangle-dependent part yields $\widetilde{G}_1^J \equiv G_1^J - \langle G_1^J\rangle$. In the next Section, we will discuss how $\Pi_{1\parallel}$ and Ψ_1 may be chosen once the gyroangle-averaged part $\langle G_1^J\rangle$ is determined.

Lastly, from the perpendicular components of Eq. (5.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} G_2^{\mathbf{x}} = G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\,(\varrho_\parallel\,\tau) \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\left(G_1^J - \;J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} \\ +\,\frac{1}{2}\left(G_1^\theta + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R}\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \;-\; \mathbf{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where $G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot G_2^{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the parallel component of $G_2^{\mathbf{x}}$. In Eqs. (5.9)-(5.10), we need to obtain an expression for $\langle G_1^J\rangle$ as well as $G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}$ and G_1^θ in order to complete the guiding-center transformation at first order in ϵ_B.

6. Third-order Perturbation Analysis

The third-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (3.14) is explicitly given in terms of the spatial components

$$\Gamma_{3\mathbf{x}} = D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3) \;-\; \frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{B}\times G_3^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; D_2\left(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right) \;+\; \nabla\sigma_3 \;\equiv\; \mathbf{\Pi}_2, \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_3 \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{2}\,p_\parallel\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \frac{1}{3}\,\mathbf{p}_\perp, \tag{6.2}$$

and the momentum components are now chosen to vanish exactly

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{3\mathbf{p}} \equiv \left[G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \;+\; \frac{\partial\sigma_3}{\partial p_\parallel}\right]\,\mathsf{d}p_\parallel + \left[\frac{2}{3}\,G_1^\theta + \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)}{\partial J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{\partial\sigma_3}{\partial J}\right]\,\mathsf{d}J \\ +\;\left[-\,\frac{2}{3}\,G_1^J + \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)}{\partial\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{\partial\sigma_3}{\partial\theta}\right]\,\mathsf{d}\theta \;\equiv\; 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

In Eqs. (6.1)-(6.3), we find

$$D_1(\mathbf{P}_3) = \frac{1}{2}\, G_1^{p_\parallel}\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \,+\, \frac{1}{3}\left(G_1^J\, \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J} + G_1^\theta\, \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial \theta}\right) \,+\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \times \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_3, \tag{6.4}$$

$$D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\, D_1^2(p_\parallel \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) + \frac{1}{3}\, D_1^2(\mathbf{p}_\perp), \tag{6.5}$$

and

$$D_2(p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) = \left(G_2^{p_\parallel} \,-\, p_\parallel\, \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\right)\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \,+\, p_\parallel\, \left(\tau\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \,+\, G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\, \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right). \tag{6.6}$$

6.1. *Momentum components*

If we use the fact that $\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0/\partial p_\parallel = 0$, then the $p_\parallel$-component of Eq. (6.3) suggests that we define the new gauge function

$$\overline{\sigma}_3 \,\equiv\, \sigma_3 \,+\, D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \,=\, \sigma_3 \,-\, \frac{2}{3}\, J\, G_1^\theta, \tag{6.7}$$

where the last expression follows from Eq. (6.4). Using the new gauge function (6.7), the momentum components (6.3), therefore, become

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{3\mathbf{p}} = &\left(G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \,+\, \frac{\partial \overline{\sigma}_3}{\partial p_\parallel}\right)\, \mathsf{d}p_\parallel \,+\, \left(G_1^\theta \,+\, \frac{\partial \overline{\sigma}_3}{\partial J}\right)\, \mathsf{d}J \\ &+\, \left[\frac{\partial \overline{\overline{\sigma}}_3}{\partial \theta} \,-\, \left(G_1^J \,+\, J\, \varrho_\parallel \tau\right)\right]\, \mathsf{d}\theta,\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

where, using Eq. (6.4), we introduced the identities

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} &\equiv -\,\frac{1}{3}\, G_1^\theta, \\ D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} &\equiv \frac{1}{3}\, G_1^J \,+\, \frac{2J\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}\cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $2\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_3 = p_\parallel\, \tau + (2/3)\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{p}_\perp$, so that we can also introduce yet another gauge function

$$\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_3 \,\equiv\, \overline{\sigma}_3 \,-\, \frac{1}{3}\left(2\, J\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R} \,+\, J\, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta}\cdot \nabla \ln B\right) \tag{6.9}$$

in the θ-component of Eq. (6.3). By requiring that the momentum components (6.8) vanish, we now obtain the definitions

$$G_1^J \equiv -\, J\, \varrho_\parallel \tau \,+\, \frac{\partial \overline{\overline{\sigma}}_3}{\partial \theta}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv -\, \frac{\partial \overline{\sigma}_3}{\partial p_\parallel}, \tag{6.11}$$

$$G_1^\theta \equiv -\, \frac{\partial \overline{\sigma}_3}{\partial J}. \tag{6.12}$$

From Eq. (6.10), we immediately conclude that $\langle G_1^J\rangle$ must be defined as

$$\langle G_1^J\rangle \,\equiv\, -\, J\, \varrho_\parallel \tau, \tag{6.13}$$

so that

$$G_1^J \,=\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \left(J\, \nabla \ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2\, \boldsymbol{\kappa}}{m\Omega}\right) - J\, \varrho_\parallel\, (\tau + \alpha_1). \tag{6.14}$$

By comparing Eq. (5.9) with Eq. (6.13), we therefore obtain

$$\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{1\parallel} \;-\; \Psi_1 \;\equiv\; -\,J\,\Omega\,\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\right), \tag{6.15}$$

which yields an infinite number of choices for $(\Pi_{1\parallel}, \Psi_1)$. One possible choice for $(\Pi_{1\parallel}, \Psi_1)$ is $\Pi_{1\parallel} = \frac{1}{2}\,J\,\tau$ and $\Psi_1 = J\,\Omega\;(\varrho_\parallel\tau)$, which allows the first-order Baños parallel drift velocity $\partial\Psi_1/\partial p_\parallel = J\,\tau/m$ to be explicitly included in Eq. (2.29). We note here that, since the right side of Eq. (6.15) is linear in $p_\parallel$, we may also choose $\Psi_1 \equiv 0$ without making $\Pi_{1\parallel}$ singular in $p_\parallel$.

In accordance with standard guiding-center Hamiltonian theory (Littlejohn 1983; Cary & Brizard 2009), we therefore choose the first-order guiding-center symplectic representation

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 \;&\equiv\; 0 \\ \Pi_{1\parallel} \;&\equiv\; -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,J\,\tau \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{6.16}$$

in what follows, so that Eq. (5.7) becomes

$$G_1^{p_\parallel} \;=\; -\,p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;+\; J\,(\tau \;+\; \alpha_1) \tag{6.17}$$

in the symplectic representation.

Using Eq. (6.14), Eq. (6.10) yields a differential equation for $\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_3$:

$$\frac{\partial\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_3}{\partial\theta} \;=\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\,\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) - J\;\varrho_\parallel\,\alpha_1,$$

whose solution is

$$\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_3 = -\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\,\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) - J\;\varrho_\parallel\,\alpha_2, \tag{6.18}$$

where we used $\alpha_1 \equiv \partial\alpha_2/\partial\theta$ (see App. A) and we assumed that $\langle\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_3\rangle = 0$. Next, we use Eq. (6.9) to obtain

$$\overline{\sigma}_3 = \frac{2}{3}\,J\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R} \;-\; \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\ln B\right) \;-\; \varrho_\parallel\left(p_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;+\; J\;\alpha_2\right). \tag{6.19}$$

from which we obtain the remaining components (6.11)-(6.12):

$$G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv -\,\frac{\partial\overline{\sigma}_3}{\partial p_\parallel} \;=\; 2\;\varrho_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;+\; \frac{J\;\alpha_2}{m\Omega}, \tag{6.20}$$

$$G_1^\theta \equiv -\,\frac{\partial\overline{\sigma}_3}{\partial J} \;=\; -\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R} \;+\; \varrho_\parallel\;\alpha_2 \;+\; \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{2\,m\;J\Omega}\right). \tag{6.21}$$

By combining Eqs. (6.19) and (6.21) into Eq. (6.7), we also obtain the expression for σ_3:

$$\sigma_3 \;=\; \overline{\sigma}_3 \;+\; \frac{2J}{3}\,G_1^\theta \;\equiv\; -\,\frac{1}{3}\,p_\parallel\,G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}, \tag{6.22}$$

where $G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}$ is expressed in Eq. (6.20). Lastly, the second-order spatial component $G_2^{\mathbf{x}}$ is

now explicitly expressed as

$$G_2^{\mathbf{x}} = \left(2\,\varrho_\parallel\,\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;+\; \frac{J\,\alpha_2}{m\Omega}\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \;+\; J\,\varrho_\parallel\,(3\tau-\alpha_1)\right]\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J}$$
$$+\,\frac{1}{2}\left[\varrho_\parallel\,\alpha_2 \;+\; \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{2m\Omega\,J}\right)\right]\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \;-\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}, \tag{6.23}$$

from which we obtain the gyroangle-averaged expression

$$\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle \;=\; -\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\nabla_\perp\ln B \;+\; \varrho_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right). \tag{6.24}$$

6.2. *Spatial components*

The remaining components of the third-order one-form (6.1) are

$$\Gamma_{3\mathbf{x}} \;\equiv\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_2 = -\,\frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{B}\times\left[G_3^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; \varrho_\parallel\,\left(\tau\,G_{2\perp}^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right]$$
$$-\;\left(G_2^{p_\parallel} - p_\parallel\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3) + \nabla\sigma_3, \tag{6.25}$$

which is now used to determine the second-order (ϵ_B^2) components $G_2^{p_\parallel}$ and $G_{3\perp}^{\mathbf{x}}$.

The parallel spatial component of Eq. (6.25) yields the expression for $G_2^{p_\parallel}$:

$$G_2^{p_\parallel} = p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\,\cdot G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left[D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3) + \nabla\sigma_3 - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_2\right], \tag{6.26}$$

where σ_3 is defined in Eq. (6.22), and

$$\langle G_2^{p_\parallel}\rangle \;=\; -\,\varrho_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \;+\; \varrho_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{m\Omega}\right) \;-\; \Pi_{2\parallel} \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\right\rangle, \tag{6.27}$$

where $\Pi_{2\parallel}\equiv\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Pi}_2$ and Eq. (6.5) (see App. B) gives the expression

$$\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\right\rangle \;=\; -\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right), \tag{6.28}$$

where $\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle$ is given in App. A. With $G_2^{\mathbf{x}}$ and $G_2^{p_\parallel}$ given by Eqs. (6.23) and (6.26), the second-order component G_2^J is now obtained from the definition (3.6):

$$G_2^J \equiv -\,J\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\ln B \;-\; \varrho_\parallel\,G_2^{p_\parallel} \;-\; \Psi_2/\Omega \tag{6.29}$$
$$= -\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{m\,\Omega}\right) \;-\; \varrho_\parallel\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left[D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3) + \nabla\sigma_3\right] \;-\; \frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\Psi_2 \;-\; \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel}\right),$$

where we used the first-order symplectic representation (6.16): $\Psi_1\equiv 0$. The gyroangle-averaged contribution of Eq. (6.29) yields

$$\left\langle G_2^J\right\rangle = -\,\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \;-\; \varrho_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\right\rangle \;-\; \frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\Psi_2 \;-\; \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel}\right)$$
$$= \varrho_\parallel\,\Pi_{2\parallel} \;-\; \frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\Psi_2 \;+\; \frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 \;-\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\right) \;+\; J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right), \tag{6.30}$$

which becomes the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian constraint:

$$\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel} \;-\; \Psi_2 \;=\; \Omega\,\left[\langle G_2^J\rangle \;-\; J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right)\right] \;+\; \frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 \;-\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}, \tag{6.31}$$

where $\langle G_2^J \rangle$ will be calculated at fourth order in the Lie-transform perturbation analysis, and the lowest-order guiding-center drift velocity is defined as

$$\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;\equiv\; \frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m} \times \left(J\, \nabla \ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\, \boldsymbol{\kappa} \right). \tag{6.32}$$

In the next Section, we will derive another expression for the gyroangle-averaged component $\langle G_2^J \rangle$, which will once again be independent of the choice of representation.

Lastly, the perpendicular spatial components of Eq. (6.25) yields

$$G_3^{\mathbf{x}} = G_{3\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} + G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \left(\varrho_\parallel\, \nabla \times \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) - G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \left(\varrho_\parallel\, \tau \right) - \frac{c\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{eB} \times \left[D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3) + \nabla\sigma_3 - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_2 \right], \tag{6.33}$$

where the parallel component $G_{3\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}$ is determined at the fourth order.

7. Fourth-order Perturbation Analysis

At second order in magnetic-field nonuniformity, the missing components $G_{3\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}$, G_2^θ, and $\langle G_2^J \rangle$ are calculated at fourth order. The fourth-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (3.15) is explicitly expressed in five parts. The first part is

$$-\; \imath_4 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \;=\; -\, \frac{e}{c}\, \mathbf{B} \times G_4^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}, \tag{7.1}$$

the second part is

$$-\; \imath_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1} \;=\; -\, D_3 \left(p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;+\; G_{3\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\, \mathsf{d}p_\parallel, \tag{7.2}$$

the third part is

$$-\; \frac{1}{2}\, \imath_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc2} = \frac{1}{2}\, D_2 \left(J\, \mathbf{R} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \right) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\, G_2^J\, \mathsf{d}\theta \;+\; \frac{1}{2} \left(G_2^\theta \;-\; G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{R}^* \right) \mathsf{d}J, \tag{7.3}$$

where $\mathbf{R}^* \equiv \mathbf{R} - \partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1/\partial J$, the fourth part is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\, \imath_2 \cdot \mathsf{d} \left[\imath_1 \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \;+\; \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2}\, D_2 \left[D_1(\mathbf{P}_2) \right] \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;-\; \frac{1}{2} \left(G_2^J\, \mathsf{d}\theta \;-\; G_2^\theta\, \mathsf{d}J \right) \\ -\, \frac{1}{2} G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)}{\partial u^a}\, \mathsf{d}u^a, \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

and the fifth part is

$$\begin{aligned} -\; \frac{1}{8}\, \imath_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} \left[\imath_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} \left(\imath_1 \cdot \omega_1 \;+\; \frac{1}{3}\, \imath_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\rm gc1} \right) \right] = -\, \frac{1}{2}\, D_1^3(\mathbf{P}_4) \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\, \frac{\partial D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4)}{\partial u^a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\, \mathsf{d}u^a \\ +\, \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathsf{d}G_1^\theta\, G_1^J \;-\; \mathsf{d}G_1^J\, G_1^\theta \right) \\ -\, \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}F_{1a}\, \mathsf{d}u^a \;-\; \mathsf{d}F_{1a}\, G_1^a \right) \\ +\, \frac{1}{4}\, \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \left(\mathsf{d}G_1^J\, \mathsf{d}\theta \;-\; \mathsf{d}G_1^\theta\, \mathsf{d}J \right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_4 \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{3}\, p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \frac{1}{4}\, \mathbf{p}_\perp, \tag{7.6}$$

and the momentum coordinates are labeled as $u^a = (p_\parallel, J, \theta)$ in Eqs. (7.4)-(7.5), with the momentum-space vector components F_{1a} defined as $F_{1a} \equiv (\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_4)/\partial u^a) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0$ in Eq. (7.5).

We now combine these parts to write the components of the fourth-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (3.15) as

$$\Gamma_{4\mathbf{x}} \equiv \mathbf{\Pi}_3 \;=\; \nabla\sigma_4 \;-\; \frac{e}{c}\,\mathbf{B}\times G_4^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; D_3\left(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right) \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,D_2\left(J\,\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{\Pi}_1\right) \tag{7.7}$$
$$+\;\frac{1}{2}\,D_2\left[D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)\right] \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\left[D_1^3(\mathbf{P}_4) \;-\; \nabla F_{1a}\,G_1^a\right] \;+\; \frac{1}{4}\left(G_1^J\,\nabla G_1^\theta \;-\; G_1^\theta\,\nabla G_1^J\right),$$

$$\Gamma_{4p_\parallel} \equiv 0 \;=\; \frac{\partial\sigma_4}{\partial p_\parallel} \;+\; G_{3\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)}{\partial p_\parallel} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\frac{\partial D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4)}{\partial p_\parallel} \tag{7.8}$$
$$-\;\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}F_{1p_\parallel} \;-\; \frac{\partial F_{1a}}{\partial p_\parallel}\,G_1^a\right) \;+\; \frac{1}{4}\left(G_1^J\,\frac{\partial G_1^\theta}{\partial p_\parallel} \;-\; G_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial G_1^J}{\partial p_\parallel}\right),$$

$$\Gamma_{4J} \equiv 0 \;=\; \frac{\partial\sigma_4}{\partial J} \;+\; G_2^\theta \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\left[\mathbf{R}^* \;+\; \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)}{\partial J}\right] \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\frac{\partial D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4)}{\partial J} \tag{7.9}$$
$$-\;\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}F_{1J} \;-\; \frac{\partial F_{1a}}{\partial J}\,G_1^a\right) \;+\; \frac{1}{4}\left(G_1^J\,\frac{\partial G_1^\theta}{\partial J} \;-\; G_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial G_1^J}{\partial J} \;-\; \mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}G_1^\theta\right),$$

$$\Gamma_{4\theta} \equiv 0 \;=\; \frac{\partial\sigma_4}{\partial\theta} \;-\; G_2^J \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)}{\partial\theta} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\frac{\partial D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4)}{\partial\theta} \tag{7.10}$$
$$-\;\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}F_{1\theta} \;-\; \frac{\partial F_{1a}}{\partial\theta}\,G_1^a\right) \;+\; \frac{1}{4}\left(G_1^J\,\frac{\partial G_1^\theta}{\partial\theta} \;-\; G_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial G_1^J}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}G_1^J\right),$$

where the momentum components are once again assumed to vanish identically. Hence, the components $G_{4\perp}^{\mathbf{x}}$ and $G_3^{p_\parallel}$ are obtained from Eq. (7.7), the component $G_{3\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}$ is obtained from Eq. (7.8), and the components (G_2^θ, G_2^J) are obtained from Eqs. (7.9)-(7.10), respectively. We note that all components, except for $\langle G_2^J\rangle$, require a solution for the scalar field σ_4, while $(G_{4\perp}^{\mathbf{x}}, G_3^{p_\parallel})$ also involve $\mathbf{\Pi}_3$.

From the condition $\Gamma_{4\theta}\equiv 0$ in Eq. (7.10), we obtain a differential equation for $\partial\sigma_4/\partial\theta$ with $\widetilde{G}_2^J \equiv G_2^J - \langle G_2^J\rangle$ defined by Eq. (6.29), which yields the solution for σ_4. The missing component $\langle G_2^J\rangle$ in Eq. (7.10), on the other hand, is defined as

$$\langle G_2^J\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\frac{\partial G_2^{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial\theta}\cdot D_1(\mathbf{P}_2)\right\rangle \;+\; \frac{1}{4}\left\langle G_1^J\,\frac{\partial G_1^\theta}{\partial\theta} \;-\; G_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial G_1^J}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}G_1^J\right\rangle$$
$$+\;\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4)\right\rangle \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}F_{1\theta} \;-\; G_1^a\,\frac{\partial F_{1a}}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle, \tag{7.11}$$

where all components of the first-order generating vector field $(G_1^{\mathbf{x}}, G_1^{p_\parallel}, G_1^J, G_1^\theta)$ have been calculated at lower orders. After several calculations detailed in App. C [for example, see Eq. (C 10)], we obtain

$$\langle G_2^J\rangle = \frac{J^2}{2m\Omega}\left[\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} - \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle - \frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{2}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right]$$
$$-\;\frac{J}{2}\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;-\; \nabla\ln B\right) \;+\; \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} - \tau^2\right]. \tag{7.12}$$

Once again, we note that the component $G_2^J \equiv \widetilde{G}_2^J + \langle G_2^J\rangle$, respectively defined in terms of Eqs. (6.29) and Eq. (7.12), is independent of the fields $(\Pi_{n\parallel}, \Psi_n)$, for $n = 1, 2$, which establishes the equivalency of the Hamiltonian guiding-center theories summarized in Sec. 2.

When compared with Eq. (6.30), we now obtain the second-order guiding-center Hamil-

tonian constraint

$$\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel} \;-\; \Psi_2 = \frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 \;-\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;+\; \frac{J}{2}\,\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot(3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\nabla\ln B) \;-\; \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right]$$
$$+\,\frac{J^2}{2m}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right]$$
$$\equiv -\,J\,\Omega\left(\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}\,\beta_{2\perp} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\beta_{2\parallel}\right) \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m}\,\left(\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\right) \;-\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}, \quad (7.13)$$

where the second-order functions $\beta_{2\perp}$ and $\beta_{2\parallel}$ depend only on the guiding-center position

$$\beta_{2\perp} = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} + \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) - \left|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right|^2, \quad (7.14)$$

$$\beta_{2\parallel} = -\,2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;-\; 3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \;+\; \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}. \quad (7.15)$$

The definitions of $\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle$ and $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}$ are given in App. A, and the last term in Eq. (7.13) explicitly involves the undetermined component $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$.

We now note that, in contrast to first-order guiding-center Hamiltonian constraint (6.15), the right side of Eq. (7.13) contains terms that are constant, quadratic, and quartic in $p_\parallel$. Hence, since $\beta_{2\perp}\neq 0$, we cannot choose $\Psi_2 = 0$ without making $\Pi_{2\parallel}$ singular in $p_\parallel$. While a purely Hamiltonian representation of guiding-center theory is possible ($\Pi_{n\parallel}\equiv 0,\ n\geq 1$), a purely symplectic representation ($\Psi_n\equiv 0,\ n\geq 1$) is, therefore, impossible at all orders. Here, the second-order Hamiltonian representation is expressed as

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\Pi_{2\parallel} &= 0\\ \Psi_2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,J\,\Omega\left(J\beta_{2\perp}/m\Omega + \varrho_\parallel^2\,\beta_{2\parallel}\right) - \left(\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\right)\,p_\parallel^2/2m + \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\end{aligned}\right\}. \quad (7.16)$$

In App. E, we present a comparison of Eq. (7.16) with previous works by Parra & Calvo (2011), Burby *et al.* (2013), and Parra *et al.* (2014). Here, the second-order Hamiltonian representation (7.16) yields $\mathsf{b}^* = \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ and $p_\parallel/m = \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}/dt - \epsilon^2\partial\Psi_2/\partial p_\parallel$, where $\partial\Psi_2/\partial p_\parallel$ represents a second-order Baños parallel drift-velocity correction.

Another possible choice involves choosing $\Psi_2(J,\mathbf{X})$ as a function of the gyroaction J and the guiding-center position $\mathbf{X}$ only (Brizard & Tronko 2012), from Eq. (7.13), and thus select $\Pi_{2\parallel}(p_\parallel,J,\mathbf{X})$ as a non-singular function of $p_\parallel$. This alternative choice guarantees that $p_\parallel\equiv m\,\mathsf{b}^*\cdot d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}/dt$ according to Eq. (2.14) and Ψ_2 enters as either a third-order (ϵ_B^3) correction to the guiding-center equations of motion for $\mathbf{X}$ (i.e., $-\nabla\Psi_2\times c\mathsf{b}^*/eB_\parallel^{**}$) and $p_\parallel$ (i.e., $-\nabla\Psi_2\cdot\mathbf{B}^*/B_\parallel^{**}$) or a second-order ($\epsilon_B^2$) correction (i.e., $\partial\Psi_2/\partial J$) to the gyrofrequency.

8. Guiding-center Jacobian

So far we have derived the guiding-center transformation (3.1) up to second order in magnetic-field nonuniformity. We would like to verify that the guiding-center transformation constructed so far is consistent with the guiding-center Jacobian (2.23) as expressed in terms of Lie-transform methods as Eq. (3.4). For this purpose, we will need

the gyroangle-averaged components

$$\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\rangle = J\,\tau, \tag{8.1}$$

$$\langle G_1^{J}\rangle = -\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau, \tag{8.2}$$

$$\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\,\mathbf{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}\,+\,\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\nabla_\perp\ln B\,+\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right), \tag{8.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle G_2^{p_\parallel}\rangle =& -\,\varrho_\parallel\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_1+\varrho_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B\,+\,\frac{p_\parallel^2\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{m\Omega}\right)\\ &-\,\Pi_{2\parallel}-J\varrho_\parallel\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2-\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right),\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle G_2^{J}\rangle =& \frac{J^2}{2m\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2\,+\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}\,-\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\,-\,\frac{1}{2}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B)\right]\\ &-\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot(3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\nabla\ln B)\,+\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\,-\,\tau^2\right],\end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

where Eq. (8.5) comes from from the fourth-order expression (7.12).

The guiding-center Jacobian (2.23) is given by $\mathcal{J}_{\rm gc}/\mathcal{J}_0\equiv B_\parallel^{**}/B$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\rm gc}}{\mathcal{J}_0} =& 1\,+\,\epsilon\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\,+\,\epsilon^2\,\left[\frac{\partial\Pi_{2\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel}\,+\,\varrho_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_{1\perp}}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right.\\ &\left.+\,\frac{c\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{eB}\cdot\nabla\times(\mathbf{\Pi}_1-J\,\mathbf{R})\right]\,+\,\cdots\,\equiv\,1\,+\,\epsilon\,\frac{\mathcal{J}_1}{\mathcal{J}_0}\,+\,\epsilon^2\,\frac{\mathcal{J}_2}{\mathcal{J}_0}+\cdots,\end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

where we used the identity $\partial\Pi_{1\parallel}/\partial p_\parallel\equiv 0$, which follows from Eq. (6.16), while $\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_{1\perp}/\partial p_\parallel$ is undetermined. At first order, using Eqs. (6.17)-(6.14) and (6.21), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{J}_1}{\mathcal{J}_0}\equiv&\frac{1}{B}\,\nabla\cdot\left(B\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right)\,-\,\left(\frac{\partial G_1^{p_\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel}\,+\,\frac{\partial G_1^{J}}{\partial J}\,+\,\frac{\partial G_1^{\theta}}{\partial\theta}\right)\\ =&\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\,\equiv\,-\,\left(\frac{\partial\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\rangle}{\partial p_\parallel}\,+\,\frac{\partial\langle G_1^{J}\rangle}{\partial J}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

In the last equality, we have used the fact that, since the guiding-center Jacobian is gyroangle-independent, we may also gyroangle-average Eq. (8.7), which greatly simplifies the calculations, since Eqs. (8.1)-(8.2) yield $\partial\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\rangle/\partial p_\parallel=0$ and $\partial\langle G_1^{J}\rangle/\partial J=-\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau$, while the gyroangle-dependent terms cancel out exactly.

At second order, we must verify that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_2}{\mathcal{J}_0}=\frac{\partial\Pi_{2\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel}\,+\,\varrho_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}_{1\perp}}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,+\,\frac{c\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{eB}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\mathbf{\Pi}_1\,-\,J\,\mathbf{R}\right) \tag{8.8}$$

$$\equiv-\,\frac{1}{B}\nabla\cdot\left(B\,\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle\right)\,-\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(\langle G_2^{p_\parallel}\rangle\,+\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau^2\right)-\,\frac{\partial}{\partial J}\left(\langle G_2^{J}\rangle\,-\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\tau^2\right),$$

where we need the gyroangle-averaged expressions for $(G_2^{\mathbf{x}},G_2^{p_\parallel},G_2^{J})$, with $(\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\rangle,\langle G_1^{J}\rangle)=(J\,\tau,-\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\tau)$. First, using Eq. (8.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}-\,\frac{1}{B}\nabla\cdot\left(B\,\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle\right)=&-\,\frac{J}{2m\Omega}\,\nabla\cdot\left[\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\,\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right]\,-\,\frac{1}{2}\,B\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{B}\right)\\ &+\,\frac{c}{eB}\,\nabla\cdot\left(\mathbf{\Pi}_1\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

Next, using Eq. (8.4), we obtain

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(\langle G_2^{p_\parallel}\rangle + \frac{1}{2} J \varrho_\parallel \tau^2\right) = -\frac{J}{m\Omega}\left(\langle \alpha_1^2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla \ln B\right) - \frac{3}{2}\varrho_\parallel^2 |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 + \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{m\Omega} + \varrho_\parallel \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel}\right)\cdot\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) + \frac{\partial \Pi_{2\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel}. \quad (8.10)$$

Lastly, using Eq. (8.5), we obtain

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial J}\left(\langle G_2^J\rangle - \frac{J}{2}\varrho_\parallel^2\tau^2\right) = -\frac{J}{m\Omega}\left[\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} - \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle - \frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{2}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\varrho_\parallel^2\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot(3\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\nabla\ln B) + \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right]. \quad (8.11)$$

By combining Eqs. (8.9)-(8.11) into Eq. (8.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{J}_2}{\mathcal{J}_0} &= \frac{\partial\Pi_{2\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel} + \frac{c\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{eB}\cdot\nabla\times(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 - J\,\mathbf{R}) + \varrho_\parallel\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\\ &\quad - \frac{J}{2m\Omega}\left\{\nabla\cdot\left[\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right] + \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right\}\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\varrho_\parallel^2\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot(3\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\nabla\ln B) + \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} - \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} - 3|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 + \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\right]\\ &= \frac{\partial\Pi_{2\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel} + \frac{c\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{eB}\cdot\nabla\times(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 - J\,\mathbf{R}) + \varrho_\parallel\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the guiding-center transformation derived up to second order in magnetic-field nonuniformity is consistent with the Jacobian constraint.

We see that, while the Jacobian constraints are satisfied up to second order in magnetic-field nonuniformity, we are unable to obtain a constraint on the perpendicular component $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$. In Sec. 10.1, however, we will show that $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} J\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ so that, with Eq. (6.16), we find that, in the symplectic representation, we find

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \equiv -\frac{1}{2} J\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}. \quad (8.12)$$

We will also show that Eq. (8.12) leads to an accurate guiding-center representation of the toroidal canonical momentum (see Sec. 10).

9. Guiding-center Push-forward Lagrangian Constraint

In our search for a definitive expression for $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$, we now wish to explore a new perturbation approach to guiding-center Hamiltonian theory. We begin with the following remark for the phase-space Lagrangian formulation of single-particle dynamics in a potential $U(\mathbf{x})$, where the particle position $\mathbf{x}$ and its velocity $\mathbf{v}$ are viewed as independent phase-space coordinates. From the phase-space Lagrangian $L(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v};\dot{\mathbf{x}},\dot{\mathbf{v}}) = m\mathbf{v}\cdot d\mathbf{x}/dt - [m|\mathbf{v}|^2/2 + U(\mathbf{x})]$, we first obtain the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\mathbf{x}$: $m\,d\mathbf{v}/dt = -\nabla U$. Since the phase-space Lagrangian is independent of $d\mathbf{v}/dt$, however, we immediately obtain the Lagrangian constraint

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = m\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} - \mathbf{p} \equiv 0. \quad (9.1)$$

Hence, we require that the guiding-center transformation must preserve this Lagrangian constraint.

Our new perturbation approach begins with the guiding-center version of the Lagrangian constraint (9.1). First, using the functional definition for the guiding-center time-evolution operator

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}}{dt} \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}\right), \tag{9.2}$$

we introduce the guiding-center Lagrangian constraint

$$m\,\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}\right) \equiv m\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}}{dt}\left(\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\right) = m\left(\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}+\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right) \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_0, \tag{9.3}$$

where $\mathbf{p}_0$ denotes the particle momentum expressed in terms of local coordinates z_0^α, the guiding-center velocity $d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}/dt$ is defined by Eq. (2.19), and the guiding-center displacement velocity is defined as

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt} = \epsilon^{-1}\,\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial J}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{\partial\theta} + \frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\nabla^*\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc} + \frac{d_{\rm gc}p_\parallel}{dt}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{\partial p_\parallel}, \tag{9.4}$$

which includes the guiding-center polarization velocity $d_{\rm gc}\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}\rangle/dt$. Here, the guiding-center displacement is expanded as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc} \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X} = \epsilon\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \epsilon^2\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 + \epsilon^3\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 + \cdots, \tag{9.5}$$

where the higher-order gyroradius corrections are

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 = -\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \tag{9.6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 = -\,G_3^{\mathbf{x}} - \mathsf{G}_2\cdot\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{1}{6}\,\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_0). \tag{9.7}$$

We note that, in general, the higher-order gyroradius corrections satisfy $\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_n\rangle \neq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_n\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. In addition, we note that the particle displacement $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\rm gc} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}$ is identical to the guiding-center displacement $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}$ only at the lowest order in ϵ. The guiding-center Lagrangian constraint (9.3) is expressed only in terms of the guiding-center displacement (9.5).

On the right side of Eq. (9.3), the push-forward of the particle momentum $\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_0$ can be expanded up to second order in ϵ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_0 = \mathbf{p}_0 &+ \epsilon\left[\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0 - \left(G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + G_1^J\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial J} + G_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial\theta}\right)\right]\\ &- \epsilon^2\left[G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0 + \left(G_2^{p_\parallel}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + G_2^J\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial J} + G_2^\theta\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial\theta}\right)\right]\\ &+ \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\,\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\left(G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + G_1^J\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial J} + G_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial\theta} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0\right) + \cdots,\end{aligned} \tag{9.8}$$

while the push-forward of the particle velocity is expanded up to second order in ϵ as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} + \frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt} &= \left(\frac{d_0\mathbf{X}}{dt} + \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right) + \epsilon\left(\frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt} + \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} + \frac{d_0\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\nabla_0^*\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right)\\ &+ \epsilon^2\left[\frac{d_2\mathbf{X}}{dt} + \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_2}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial J}\left(\Psi_2 - \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel}\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right.\\ &\left. + \frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\nabla_0^*\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{d_0\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\nabla_0^*\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 + \frac{d_0p_\parallel}{dt}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial p_\parallel}\right] + \cdots,\end{aligned} \tag{9.9}$$

where we used $m\,d_0\mathbf{X}/dt = p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$, $\nabla_0^* \equiv \nabla + (\mathbf{R} - \partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1/\partial J)\,\partial/\partial\theta$, and $\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0/\partial p_\parallel \equiv 0$

with the first-order symplectic representation $\Psi_1 \equiv 0$. At the lowest order in ϵ, the guiding-center Lagrangian constraint (9.3) yields

$$\mathbf{p}_0 \;\equiv\; p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; m\,\Omega\,\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta}, \tag{9.10}$$

and we henceforth remove the "local" tag on $(p_{\parallel 0} = p_\parallel, J_0 = J, \theta_0 = \theta)$.

At the next orders, we use the expansions $d_{\rm gc}Z^\alpha/dt \equiv \sum_n^\infty \epsilon^n\; d_nZ^\alpha/dt$ (which now includes the expansion of $B_\parallel^{**}$) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m\,\frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + J\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\Omega\right) \;-\; p_\parallel\;\Big(\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\Big)\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \\ &= \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\left(J\,\nabla\ln B \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \;\equiv\; m\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m\,\frac{d_2\mathbf{X}}{dt} &= \left[m\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel} - p_\parallel\,\left(\frac{\partial\Pi_{2\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\varrho_\parallel\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right]\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel}\times J\,\nabla\ln B \\ &\quad - m\,(\varrho_\parallel\tau)\;\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;+\; \varrho_\parallel\;\left[\nabla\times\Big(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \;-\; J\;\mathbf{R}\Big) - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\Big(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \;-\; J\;\mathbf{R}\Big)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{9.12}$$

where we used the first-order symplectic representation $\Psi_1 \equiv 0$ and $\Pi_{1\parallel} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\tau$.

9.1. *First-order constraint*

At first order, the guiding-center Lagrangian constraint (9.3) yields

$$-\;\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}_0 = m\,\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;+\; \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right), \tag{9.13}$$

where $G_1^{\mathbf{x}} = -\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$ and

$$\frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt} = \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\left[-\,(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tau\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\Big(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\Big)\;\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right], \tag{9.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} \equiv \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,&\left[2\,(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,(\tau+\alpha_1)\;\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \;-\; \alpha_2\;\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right] \\ &+\; \frac{J}{m}\,\Big(\alpha_1\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; 2\,\mathsf{a}_1\cdot\nabla\ln B\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{9.15}$$

The first-order guiding-center Lagrangian constraint (9.13) yields the following component equations

$$(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0)\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; G_1^{p_\parallel} = m\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;+\; \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right), \tag{9.16}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \;-\; G_1^J = m\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;+\; \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right), \tag{9.17}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} \;+\; G_1^\theta = m\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J}\cdot\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;+\; \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right), \tag{9.18}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0 \;=\; J\,(\tau+2\alpha_1)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial\theta} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\;\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}.$$

The parallel equation (9.16) becomes

$$J\;\Big(\tau \;+\; 2\,\alpha_1\Big) \;-\; G_1^{p_\parallel} \;=\; m\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta}\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; p_\parallel\;\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa},$$

which yields the same expression (6.17) for $G_1^{p_\parallel}$:

$$G_1^{p_\parallel} \;=\; -\,p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;+\; J\,\Big(\tau \;+\; \alpha_1\Big)\,. \tag{9.19}$$

The remaining equations (9.17)-(9.18) yield the components G_1^J and G_1^θ. We note that Eqs. (9.16)-(9.18) point to a dynamical derivation of the guiding-center transformation as opposed to a Hamiltonian derivation.

9.2. *Second-order constraint*

At second order, the guiding-center Lagrangian constraint (9.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &-\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{p}_0 \;-\; \left(G_2^{p_\parallel}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; G_2^J\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial J} \;+\; G_2^\theta\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0}}{\partial\theta}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\,(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}_0) \qquad (9.20)\\ &=\; m\,\frac{d_2\mathbf{X}}{dt} + m\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_2}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial J}\left(\Psi_2 - \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel}\right)\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} + m\,\frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\nabla_0^*\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + m\,\frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

The parallel component of the gyroangle-averaged second-order constraint equation (9.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\frac{\partial\Pi_{2\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel} \;-\; \frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel}\right) + m\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel} &= \langle G_2^{p_\parallel}\rangle \;+\; m\,\frac{d_0\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle}{dt}\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \Big\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{p}_\perp\Big\rangle\\ &\quad -\,\frac{1}{2}\Big\langle\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\,\mathsf{d}\,(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p})\Big\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.21}$$

If we combine this equation with Eq. (6.27):

$$\Pi_{2\parallel} \;=\; -\,\langle G_2^{p_\parallel}\rangle \;+\; p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\right\rangle, \tag{9.22}$$

the contributions from $\langle G_2^{p_\parallel}\rangle$ cancel out when Eqs. (9.21)-(9.22) are combined and we obtain the second-order Lagrangian constraint

$$\begin{aligned} m\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel} \;-\; \Psi_2\right) + m\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel} &= \left(m\,\frac{d_0\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle}{dt} \;+\; \left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\right\rangle\right)\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\\ &\quad +\,p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle \;-\; \Big\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{p}_\perp\Big\rangle\\ &\quad -\,\frac{1}{2}\Big\langle\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\,\mathsf{d}\,(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p})\Big\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.23}$$

In App. D, the right side is explicitly calculated as

$$m\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel} - \Psi_2\right) + m\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel} = 2\,p_\parallel\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 - J\,\varrho_\parallel\beta_{2\parallel} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\frac{\partial(m\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc})}{\partial p_\parallel}, \tag{9.24}$$

which can clearly be recovered from the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian constraint (7.13).

9.3. *Guiding-center Hamiltonian constraint*

We conclude this section by applying the guiding-center Lagrangian constraint (9.3) on the guiding-center Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm gc} \;=\; \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\left(\frac{m}{2}\,\left|\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}\right|^2\right) \;=\; \frac{m}{2}\,\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} + \frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2. \tag{9.25}$$

Since the guiding-center Hamiltonian must also be gyroangle-independent, the guiding-center Hamiltonian (9.25) must also be expressed as

$$H_{\rm gc} \;\equiv\; \frac{m}{2}\left\langle\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}+\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle, \tag{9.26}$$

which means that the gyroangle-dependent terms on the right side of Eq. (9.25) must vanish identically as could be readily verified explicitly.

If we use the first-order symplectic and second-order Hamiltonian representations, defined by Eqs. (6.16) and (7.16), we therefore find the first-order guiding-center potential energy

$$\Psi_1 \;\equiv\; \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\left(\frac{m}{2}\left\langle\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}+\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle\right)_{\epsilon=0} \;=\; 0, \tag{9.27}$$

and the second-order guiding-center potential energy Ψ_2 defined as

$$\Psi_2 \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2}\left(\frac{m}{2}\left\langle\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}+\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle\right)_{\epsilon=0}. \tag{9.28}$$

Hence, the physical meaning of the higher-order corrections Ψ_n is clearly expressed in terms of the ϵ-expansion of the guiding-center push-forward of the particle kinetic energy.

10. Guiding-center Polarization and Toroidal Canonical Momentum

So far we have been unable to find a way to determine the perpendicular component $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$ within guiding-center Lie-transform perturbation theory. In the present section, we show how $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$ can be determined by requiring that the guiding-center transformation yields exactly the guiding-center polarization obtained by Pfirsch (1984) and Kaufman (1986). A recent variational derivation of the guiding-center magnetization by Brizard & Tronci (2016) confirms our choice for $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$.

10.1. *Guiding-center Polarization*

The guiding-center displacement $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}\equiv\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}$ is explicitly expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc} \;=\; -\,\epsilon\,G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; \epsilon^2\,G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\,\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; \cdots \;\equiv\; \epsilon\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \;+\; \epsilon^2\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \;+\; \cdots, \tag{10.1}$$

where the first-order guiding-center displacement (9.6) is expressed explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 =& -G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\left(G_1^J\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} \;+\; G_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\\ =& -\left(G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \varrho_\parallel\tau\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \;+\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}\\ &-\left[G_1^J\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} \;+\; \left(G_1^\theta \;+\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R}\right)\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

Using this expression, we now compute the guiding-center polarization density (Brizard 2008, 2013), which is defined up to first order in magnetic-field nonuniformity as

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\rm gc}^{(1)} \;\equiv\; e\,\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle \;-\; e\,\nabla\cdot\left(\left\langle\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{2}\right\rangle\right), \tag{10.3}$$

which requires the gyroangle-average of Eq. (10.2):

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \rangle =& -\frac{J}{m\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \,+\, \frac{3}{2}\nabla_\perp \ln B\right] \,-\, \varrho_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa} \,+\, \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}\\ =& -\frac{1}{m\Omega}\left(J\,\nabla_\perp \ln B \,+\, \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{m\,\Omega}\right) \,+\, \nabla\cdot\left[\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}\left(\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right]\\ &+\, \left(\frac{J}{2}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa} \,+\, \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\right)\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.4}$$

Hence, using $\frac{1}{2}\,\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\rangle = (\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\,J/2m\Omega$, the guiding-center polarization density (10.3) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\rm gc}^{(1)} \,=\, -\frac{e}{m\Omega}\left(J\,\nabla_\perp \ln B \,+\, \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{m\,\Omega}\right) \,+\, \left(\frac{J}{2}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa} \,+\, \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\right)\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}, \tag{10.5}$$

which yields the Pfirsch-Kaufman formula (Pfirsch 1984; Kaufman 1986)

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\rm gc}^{(1)} \,\equiv\, e\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}}{\Omega}, \tag{10.6}$$

only if we use the following polarization constraint in Eq. (10.3):

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp} \,\equiv\, -\,\frac{J}{2}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}. \tag{10.7}$$

By combining this result with the first-order symplectic representation (6.16): $\Pi_{1\parallel} \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\tau$, we, therefore, find the first-order symplectic guiding-center momentum

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \,=\, -\,\frac{J}{2}\left(\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \,+\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \,=\, -\,\frac{J}{2}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \tag{10.8}$$

and Eq. (10.2) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \,\equiv\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}}{\Omega} \,+\, \nabla\cdot\left[\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}\,\left(\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \,+\, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_1, \tag{10.9}$$

with the gyroangle-dependent part $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_1 \equiv \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_1 =& -\,\varrho_\parallel\left[2\left(\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,(\tau - \alpha_1)\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \,+\, \alpha_2\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right]\\ &+\,\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\left(\alpha_2\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \,-\, 2\,\mathsf{a}_2\cdot\nabla\ln B\right). \end{aligned} \tag{10.10}$$

Since Eq. (10.8) satisfies $\partial\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1/\partial p_\parallel \equiv 0$, then $\mathsf{b}^* = \widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ according to Eq. (2.10).

Lastly, the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian is expressed as

$$\Gamma_{\rm gc} \,=\, \left(\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\mathbf{A} \,+\, p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \,-\, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\,J\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X} \,+\, \epsilon\,J\,\left(\mathsf{d}\theta \,-\, \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{X}\right), \tag{10.11}$$

when terms up to first order in magnetic-field nonuniformity are retained. In Eq. (10.11), we have retained the guiding-center polarization contribution (10.7) in $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \equiv -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$. We now show that this polarization correction enables us to obtain a simple expression for the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum up to second order in ϵ (i.e., first order in magnetic-field nonuniformity).

10.2. *Guiding-center Toroidal Canonical Momentum*

There is now a well-established connection between polarization and the conservation of toroidal canonical momentum in an axisymmetric magnetic field (Scott & Smirnov 2010; Brizard & Tronko 2011), here represented as

$$\mathbf{B} \;=\; B_\varphi(\psi)\,\nabla\varphi \;+\; \nabla\varphi\times\nabla\psi, \tag{10.12}$$

where φ denotes the toroidal angle and ψ denotes the magnetic flux on which magnetic-field lines lie (i.e., $\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla\psi\equiv 0$). Note that the toroidal magnetic field $B_\varphi\,\nabla\varphi$ in Eq. (10.12) is expressed with a covariant component $B_\varphi(\psi)$ that is constant on a given magnetic-flux surface.

We first calculate the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum from the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian (10.11):

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi} &\equiv \left[\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\mathbf{A} \;+\; p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \epsilon\,J\left(\mathbf{R} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \\ &= -\,\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\psi \;+\; p_\parallel\,b_\varphi \;-\; \epsilon\,J\left[b_{\mathsf{z}} \;+\; \nabla\cdot\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{R}^2\,\nabla\varphi\right) \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{R}^2\,\nabla\varphi\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{10.13}$$

where $b_\varphi\equiv B_\varphi/B$ denotes the toroidal covariant component of the magnetic unit vector $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$, we used (Littlejohn 1983)

$$\mathbf{R}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \;=\; \frac{\partial\widehat{1}}{\partial\varphi}\cdot\widehat{2} \;=\; (\widehat{\mathsf{z}}\times\widehat{1})\cdot\widehat{2} \;\equiv\; b_{\mathsf{z}}$$

(i.e., the component of $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ along the symmetry axis $\widehat{\mathsf{z}}$ for toroidal rotations), we wrote $\partial\mathbf{X}/\partial\varphi\equiv\mathcal{R}^2\,\nabla\varphi$ in terms of the major radius $\mathcal{R}\equiv|\nabla\varphi|^{-1}$, and we used the identity $\mathbf{F}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{G}\equiv\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{G}\times\mathbf{F})+\mathbf{G}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{F}$, for any two vector fields $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{G}$. Next, we use

$$\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{R}^2\,\nabla\varphi\right) \;=\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left(\nabla\mathcal{R}\times\mathcal{R}\nabla\varphi\right) \;=\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}\times\widehat{\varphi}\right) \;=\; b_{\mathsf{z}},$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{R}^2\,\nabla\varphi \;=\; \frac{1}{2B}\,(B_\varphi\,\nabla\varphi+\nabla\varphi\times\nabla\psi)\times\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \;=\; \frac{1}{2B}\,\nabla\psi,$$

so that Eq. (10.13) becomes

$$P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi} \;=\; -\,\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\psi \;+\; p_\parallel\,b_\varphi \;-\; \epsilon\left[2\,J\,b_{\mathsf{z}} \;+\; \nabla\cdot\left(\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}\,\frac{e}{c}\,\nabla\psi\right)\right]. \tag{10.14}$$

Here, we suspect that the last term in Eq. (10.14) is related to the second-order finite-Larmor-radius (FLR) correction to the first term.

To prove this assertion, we introduce the guiding-center magnetic flux

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathrm{gc}} \equiv \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}\psi\right\rangle \;&=\; \left\langle \psi+\epsilon\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\psi-\epsilon^2\left[G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\psi+\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\,(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\psi)\right]+\cdots\right\rangle \\ &= \psi \;+\; \epsilon^2\left(\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle\cdot\nabla\psi \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\rangle : \nabla\nabla\psi\right)+\cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{10.15}$$

where we used the definition (9.6) for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1$. Next, using $\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla\psi\equiv 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathrm{gc}} &= \psi+\epsilon^2\left\{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{gc}}}{\Omega}\cdot\nabla\psi+\nabla\cdot\left[\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}(\mathbf{I}-\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\right]\cdot\nabla\psi+\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}(\mathbf{I}-\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}):\nabla\nabla\psi\right\} \\ &= \psi \;+\; \epsilon^2\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}\,\nabla\psi\right) \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{gc}}}{\Omega}\cdot\nabla\psi\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{10.16}$$

Using the identity $\nabla\psi \equiv \mathbf{B}\times\partial\mathbf{X}/\partial\varphi$, with $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\equiv 0$, we now obtain

$$\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}}{\Omega}\cdot\nabla\psi \;=\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}}{\Omega}\cdot\left(\mathbf{B}\times\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\right) \;=\; \frac{B}{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\right) \;\equiv\; \frac{B}{\Omega}\,v_{{\rm gc}\varphi}.$$

Hence, the final expression for the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum defined by Eq. (10.14) is

$$P_{{\rm gc}\varphi} \;=\; -\,\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\psi_{\rm gc} \;+\; m\left(\frac{d_0\mathbf{X}}{dt} \;+\; \epsilon\,\frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt}\right)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \;-\; 2\,\epsilon\,J\,b_{\mathbf{z}}, \tag{10.17}$$

where $d_0\mathbf{X}/dt \equiv (p_\parallel/m)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ and $d_1\mathbf{X}/dt$ given by Eq. (9.11), while

$$m\,\left(\frac{d_0\mathbf{X}}{dt} \;+\; \epsilon\,\frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt}\right)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \;\equiv\; m\,\mathcal{R}^2\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}\varphi}{dt}$$

denotes the guiding-center toroidal momentum with first-order corrections due to the guiding-center magnetic-drift velocity.

The last term in Eq. (10.17) might be puzzling until we consider the guiding-center transformation of the particle toroidal canonical momentum

$$\begin{aligned} P_{{\rm gc}\varphi} \equiv \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\,P_\varphi\right\rangle \;&=\; \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\left(-\,\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\psi \;+\; m\,\mathbf{v}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{x}}{\partial\varphi}\right)\right\rangle \\ &= -\,\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\langle\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\psi\rangle \;+\; m\,\left\langle\left(\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}\right)\cdot\left(\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mathbf{x}}{\partial\varphi}\right)\right\rangle \\ &= -\,\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\psi_{\rm gc} \;+\; m\,\left\langle\left(\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}+\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\partial_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}+\frac{\partial_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{\partial\varphi}\right)\right\rangle \\ &= -\,\frac{e}{\epsilon\,c}\,\psi_{\rm gc} \;+\; m\left(\frac{d_0\mathbf{X}}{dt} \;+\; \epsilon\,\frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt}\right)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \;+\; \epsilon\,m\Omega\left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\varphi}\right\rangle+\cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{10.18}$$

Since $\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0/\partial\varphi \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{z}}\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$ in axisymmetric magnetic geometry, the last term becomes

$$\epsilon\,m\Omega\left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\varphi}\right\rangle \;=\; \epsilon\,m\Omega\left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot(\widehat{\mathsf{z}}\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\right\rangle \;=\; -\,2\,\epsilon\,J\,b_{\mathbf{z}},$$

and we recover the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum (10.17) from the guiding-center transformation of the particle toroidal canonical momentum (10.18).

Lastly, we note that the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum $P_{{\rm gc}\varphi}$ is defined as the guiding-center push-forward of the particle toroidal canonical momentum P_φ:

$$P_{{\rm gc}\varphi} \;=\; \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}P_\varphi \;=\; -\,\frac{e}{\epsilon c}\,\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\psi \;+\; m\left(\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} \;+\; \frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\partial_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \;+\; \frac{\partial_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{\partial\varphi}\right), \tag{10.19}$$

which guarantees the invariance of the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum $P_{{\rm gc}\varphi}$:

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}P_{{\rm gc}\varphi}}{dt} \;\equiv\; \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\left(\frac{dP_\varphi}{dt}\right) \;=\; 0. \tag{10.20}$$

We have shown in Eq. (10.18), however, that $P_{{\rm gc}\varphi}\equiv\langle\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}P_\varphi\rangle$, since $P_{{\rm gc}\varphi}$ is defined as the toroidal component of the gyroangle-independent guiding-center symplectic Lagrange one-form (10.11). Hence, the gyroangle-dependent terms in $\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}P_\varphi - \langle\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}P_\varphi\rangle$ must vanish identically, which is proved up to second order in ϵ (first order in ϵ_B) in App. G.

10.3. *Variational derivation of the guiding-center polarization*

We return to the guiding-center polarization (10.6) and present two alternative variational derivations based on guiding-center Lagrangian and guiding-center Hamiltonian, respectively. First, in recent work by Brizard & Tronci (2016), the following guiding-center magnetization is derived by variational method:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\rm gc} \;=\; \sum \int \frac{\partial L_{\rm gc}}{\partial \mathbf{B}}\, F\, dp_\parallel\, d\mu \;\equiv\; \sum \int \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\rm gc} \;+\; \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\rm gc} \times \frac{p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{mc} \right)\, F\, dp_\parallel\, d\mu \qquad (10.21)$$

where $\sum$ denotes a summation over particle species and $F \equiv 2]pi, \mathcal{J}_{\rm gc}\; f$ denotes the guiding-center Vlasov phase-space density (which includes the guiding-center Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{\rm gc}$ and the factor 2π replaces the integration over the gyroangle). Here, the lowest-order guiding-center (single-particle) Lagrangian is

$$L_{\rm gc}(\mathbf{X}, p_\parallel, \dot{\mathbf{X}}, \dot{p}_\parallel; \mathbf{B}) \;=\; \left(\frac{e}{c}\, \mathbf{A} \;+\; p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} \;-\; \left(\frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m} \;+\; \mu\, B \right), \qquad (10.22)$$

while the guiding-center magnetization (10.21) is expressed in terms of the intrinsic magnetic-dipole moment $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\rm gc}$ and the moving electric-dipole moment $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\rm gc} \times (p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}/mc)$. Here, the derivative of the guiding-center Lagrangian (10.22) with respect to $\mathbf{B}$ (at constant $\mathbf{X}$, $p_\parallel$, and $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$) yields

$$\frac{\partial L_{\rm gc}}{\partial \mathbf{B}} \;=\; p_\parallel\, \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{\partial \mathbf{B}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} \;-\; \mu\, \frac{\partial B}{\partial \mathbf{B}} \;=\; \left(\frac{e\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{\Omega} \times \dot{\mathbf{X}} \right) \times \frac{p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{mc} \;-\; \mu\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \qquad (10.23)$$

which yields the guiding-center magnetization contributions

$$\left. \begin{array}{rcl} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\rm gc} &=& -\, \mu\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\rm gc} &=& e\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times \dot{\mathbf{X}}/\Omega \end{array} \right\}, \qquad (10.24)$$

where $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$ now needs to be determined from the guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equations. The guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equations derived from Eq. (10.22) are

$$\left. \begin{array}{rcl} 0 &=& \partial L_{\rm gc}/\partial \mathbf{X} - d(\partial L_{\rm gc}/\partial \dot{\mathbf{X}})/dt \;=\; (e/c)\, \dot{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathbf{B}^* \;-\; \mu\, \nabla B \;-\; \dot{p}_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \\ 0 &=& \partial L_{\rm gc}/\partial p_\parallel \;=\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} \;-\; p_\parallel/m \end{array} \right\}, \qquad (10.25)$$

which are the lowest-order versions of Eqs. (2.13)-(2.14). Hence, we conclude that $\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\, p_\parallel/m + \mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}$, so that $\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times \dot{\mathbf{X}} = \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times \mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}$, and Eq. (10.24) yields the guiding-center polarization (10.6).

A second variational derivation of the guiding-center polarization (10.6) can be more directly derived from the guiding-center Hamiltonian term $H^\Phi_{\rm gc} \equiv e\, \langle \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1} \Phi \rangle$ expressed in terms of the scalar potential Φ. First, we expand $H^\Phi_{\rm gc}$ up to first order in magnetic-field nonuniformity:

$$\begin{aligned} H^\Phi_{\rm gc} &= e\, \Phi \;+\; e\, \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \rangle \cdot \nabla \Phi \;+\; e\, \left\langle \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{2} \right\rangle : \nabla \nabla \Phi \\ &\equiv e\, \Phi \;-\; e\, \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \rangle \cdot \mathbf{E} \;-\; e\, \left\langle \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{2} \right\rangle : \nabla \mathbf{E}, \end{aligned} \qquad (10.26)$$

where we substituted $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi$ into the last expression and we have neglected higher-order quadrupole contributions ($\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 + \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \rangle : \nabla \nabla \Phi$). Next, we use the traditional

variational definition of the guiding-center polarization (Brizard 2013)

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\rm gc} \equiv -\frac{\partial H^{\Phi}_{\rm gc}}{\partial \mathbf{E}} + \nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\partial H^{\Phi}_{\rm gc}}{\partial(\nabla\mathbf{E})}\right), \tag{10.27}$$

which includes electric dipole and quadrupole contributions. By substituting Eq. (10.26) into Eq. (10.27), we recover the Pfirsch-Kaufman formula (10.6):

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(1)}_{\rm gc} = e\,\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle - \nabla\cdot\left(e\,\left\langle\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{2}\right\rangle\right) = e\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}}{\Omega}, \tag{10.28}$$

which confirms the Lie-transform expression (10.3) and is consistent with Eq. (10.24).

11. Summary

A systematic derivation of the higher-order Hamiltonian guiding-center dynamics has been derived by Lie-transform perturbation analysis. The guiding-center Poisson bracket derived from the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian (10.11) is

$$\left\{F,\,G\right\}_{\rm gc} = \epsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial G}{\partial J} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial J}\frac{\partial G}{\partial\theta}\right) + \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B^*_\parallel}\cdot\left(\nabla^* F\,\frac{\partial G}{\partial p_\parallel} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_\parallel}\,\nabla^* G\right) - \frac{\epsilon\,c\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{e\,B^*_\parallel}\cdot\nabla^* F\times\nabla^* G, \tag{11.1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}^* \equiv \nabla\times\left(\mathbf{A} + \epsilon\,\frac{c}{e}\,p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - \epsilon^2\,\frac{c}{e}\,J\,\mathbf{R}^*\right), \tag{11.2}$$

$$B^*_\parallel \equiv \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{B}^* = B\left(1 + \epsilon\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau - \epsilon^2\,\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}^*\right), \tag{11.3}$$

with $\nabla^* \equiv \nabla + \mathbf{R}^*\,\partial/\partial\theta$ and $\mathbf{R}^* \equiv \mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$.

The guiding-center Hamiltonian, on the other hand, can be chosen as (with $\Pi_{2\parallel}\equiv 0$)

$$H_{\rm gc} = \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m} + J\,\Omega + \epsilon^2\,\Psi_2, \tag{11.4}$$

where the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$\Psi_2 = J\,\Omega\left(\frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}\,\beta_{2\perp} + \frac{1}{2}\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\beta_{2\parallel}\right) - \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m}\,\left(\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\right) + \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}. \tag{11.5}$$

Here, we have isolated the contribution from the perpendicular polarization component $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$ and the coefficients $\beta_{2\perp}$ and $\beta_{2\parallel}$ are defined in Eqs. (7.14)-(7.15).

We also showed that the perpendicular component $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$, which could not be determined within Lie-transform perturbation theory (up to the orders considered in this work), could not be chosen to be zero in contrast to the choice made by Littlejohn (1983), who used a Hamiltonian representation (with $\Psi_1 = \frac{1}{2}\,J\,\Omega\;\varrho_\parallel\,\tau$ and $\Pi_{1\parallel} = 0$). We showed in Sec. 10 that the choice $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ not only yields the standard Pfirsch-Kaufman guiding-center polarization (10.6) but also a simplified guiding-center representation of the particle toroidal canonical momentum (10.17).

Lastly, we have shown that the guiding-center Hamiltonian (11.4) can be expressed as

$$H_{\rm gc} \equiv \left\langle \mathsf{T}^{-1}_{\rm gc}\left(\frac{m}{2}\,\left|\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}\right|^2\right)\right\rangle = \frac{m}{2}\left\langle\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} + \frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle, \tag{11.6}$$

which follows from the guiding-center Lagrangian constraint (9.3).

Work by AJB was partially supported by a U. S. DoE grant under contracts No. de-sc0006721 and No. de-sc0014032. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

Appendix A. Dyadic Calculus

In this Appendix, we present the basic expressions associated with the gradient and curl operations on the rotating unit-vectors $\widehat{\mathsf{u}}^i \equiv (\widehat{\perp}, \widehat{\rho}, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \equiv \widehat{\perp} \times \widehat{\rho})$, where we shall use the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{p}_\perp \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 &= 2\,J\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}},\\
\mathbf{p}_\perp \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} &= 2\,J \;=\; -\,\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial \theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0,\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} &= 1 \;=\; -\,\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J}.
\end{aligned}$$

A.1. *Gyrogauge invariance*

By introducing the fixed unit-vectors $(\widehat{1}, \widehat{2}, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \equiv \widehat{1} \times \widehat{2})$, we write the definitions for the rotating unit-vectors

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\rho} &\;\equiv\; \cos\theta\,\widehat{1} \;-\; \sin\theta\,\widehat{2}\\
\widehat{\perp} &\;\equiv\; -\,\sin\theta\,\widehat{1} \;-\; \cos\theta\,\widehat{2}
\end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{A 1}$$

where the gyroangle θ is measured *clockwise* from the $\widehat{1}$-axis, so that $\widehat{\perp} \equiv \partial\widehat{\rho}/\partial\theta$. We note that, while the choice of the fixed unit-vectors $(\widehat{1}, \widehat{2})$ can be made arbitrarily in the plane locally perpendicular to $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$, we must ensure that the resulting guiding-center equations of motion do not depend on a specific choice. Hence, our guiding-center Hamiltonian theory must be *gyrogauge*-invariant in the following sense.

First, we allow the rotation of the unit-vectors $(\widehat{1}, \widehat{2})$ about the magnetic unit-vector $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ by an arbitrary angle $\chi(\mathbf{x})$ that depends on the field position $\mathbf{x}$, so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{1}' \\ \widehat{2}' \end{pmatrix} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \cos\chi & \sin\chi \\ -\sin\chi & \cos\chi \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{1} \\ \widehat{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A 2}$$

Next, we require that the rotating unit-vectors (A 1) be invariant under this rotation, i.e., $\widehat{\rho}' = \widehat{\rho}$ and $\widehat{\perp}' = \widehat{\perp}$, which implies that the gyroangle θ must transform as $\theta'(\theta, \mathbf{x}) = \theta + \chi(\mathbf{x})$ under the gyrogauge rotation (A 2).

Lastly, we introduce the gyrogauge vector field

$$\mathbf{R} \;\equiv\; \nabla\widehat{1} \cdot \widehat{2} \;=\; \nabla\widehat{\perp} \cdot \widehat{\rho} \;=\; -\,\nabla\widehat{\rho} \cdot \widehat{\perp}, \tag{A 3}$$

which transforms as $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R} + \nabla\chi$ under the gyrogauge rotation (A 2). We, therefore, readily see that a gyrogauge-invariant guiding-center theory can only include the gyrogauge vector field $\mathbf{R}$ either as the curl $\nabla \times \mathbf{R}$, e.g., in Eq. (11.2), the one-form $\mathsf{d}\theta - \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{x}$, or the gradient operator $\nabla + \mathbf{R}\,\partial/\partial\theta$, e.g., in Eq. (11.1), which are all gyrogauge invariant.

A.2. *Curl of rotating unit-vector basis*

In writing the expressions for $(\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}},\nabla\times\mathbf{p}_\perp,\nabla\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_0)$, we use the definitions

$$\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\equiv\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,+\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \tag{A 4}$$

$$\nabla\times\widehat{\perp}\equiv-\,\widehat{\rho}\times\mathbf{R}\,-\,C_{\rho\perp}\,\widehat{\perp}\,+\,C_{\perp\perp}\,\widehat{\rho}, \tag{A 5}$$

$$\nabla\times\widehat{\rho}\equiv\widehat{\perp}\times\mathbf{R}\,-\,C_{\rho\rho}\,\widehat{\perp}\,+\,C_{\perp\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}, \tag{A 6}$$

where the matrix elements (with the definitions $\alpha_n\equiv\mathsf{a}_n:\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$)

$$\left.\begin{aligned} C_{\perp\perp}\;&\equiv\;\widehat{\perp}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\widehat{\perp}\;=\;\tfrac{1}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,+\,2\,\alpha_2\\ C_{\perp\rho}\;&\equiv\;\widehat{\perp}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\widehat{\rho}\;=\;\tfrac{1}{2}\,\tau\,-\,\alpha_1\\ C_{\rho\perp}\;&\equiv\;\widehat{\rho}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\widehat{\perp}\;=\;-\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,\tau\,-\,\alpha_1\\ C_{\rho\rho}\;&\equiv\;\widehat{\rho}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\widehat{\rho}\;=\;\tfrac{1}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,-\,2\,\alpha_2\end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{A 7}$$

are expressed in terms of the dyadic tensors

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{a}_1\equiv&-\frac{1}{2}\,\left(\widehat{\perp}\,\widehat{\rho}+\widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\perp}\right)\;=\;\frac{\partial\mathsf{a}_2}{\partial\theta}\\ =&\,\frac{1}{2}\left[\sin(2\theta)\,\left(\widehat{1}\,\widehat{1}-\widehat{2}\,\widehat{2}\right)\,+\,\cos(2\theta)\,\left(\widehat{1}\,\widehat{2}+\widehat{2}\,\widehat{1}\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{A 8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{a}_2\equiv&\,\frac{1}{4}\,\left(\widehat{\perp}\,\widehat{\perp}-\widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}\right)=-\,\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial\mathsf{a}_1}{\partial\theta}\\ =&\,\frac{1}{4}\left[-\,\cos(2\theta)\,\left(\widehat{1}\,\widehat{1}-\widehat{2}\,\widehat{2}\right)\,+\,\sin(2\theta)\,\left(\widehat{1}\,\widehat{2}+\widehat{2}\,\widehat{1}\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{A 9}$$

so that $\partial\alpha_2/\partial\theta\equiv\alpha_1$ and $\partial\alpha_1/\partial\theta\equiv-\,4\,\alpha_2$.

Hence, we find

$$\nabla\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_0=\frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\times\nabla\ln B\,+\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\times\mathbf{R}\,+\,C_{\perp\rho}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\,-\,C_{\rho\rho}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}, \tag{A 10}$$

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{p}_\perp=\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\ln B\times\mathbf{p}_\perp\,-\,\mathbf{R}\times\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}\,-\,\left(C_{\rho\perp}\,\mathbf{p}_\perp\,+\,C_{\perp\perp}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}\right), \tag{A 11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{p}_\perp=&-\,J\left[2\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{R}\,-\,(\tau+2\,\alpha_1)\right],\\ \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J}=&-\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{R}\,+\,\left(\alpha_1\,+\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right),\\ \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}=&-\,4\,J\,\alpha_2.\end{aligned}$$

A.3. *Dyadic gradients*

We also make use of the matrix elements (A 7) to write the components of the dyadic gradients

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} &= \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa} + \left(C_{\rho\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}\widehat{\rho} + C_{\rho\perp}\,\widehat{\rho}\widehat{\perp} + C_{\perp\rho}\,\widehat{\perp}\widehat{\rho} + C_{\perp\perp}\,\widehat{\perp}\widehat{\perp}\right)\\
\nabla\widehat{\perp} &= \mathbf{R}\,\widehat{\rho} - \left(\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\widehat{\perp}\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - \left(C_{\perp\perp}\,\widehat{\perp} + C_{\rho\perp}\,\widehat{\rho}\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\\
\nabla\widehat{\rho} &= -\mathbf{R}\,\widehat{\perp} - (\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\widehat{\rho})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - \left(C_{\perp\rho}\,\widehat{\perp} + C_{\rho\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}\right)\widehat{\mathsf{b}}
\end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{A 12}$$

from which we obtain the divergence identities

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} &= C_{\rho\rho} + C_{\perp\perp}\\
\nabla\cdot\widehat{\perp} &= \mathbf{R}\cdot\widehat{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\widehat{\perp}\\
\nabla\cdot\widehat{\rho} &= -\mathbf{R}\cdot\widehat{\perp} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\widehat{\rho}
\end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{A 13}$$

and the useful expressions

$$\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla\ln B\;\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 - \mathbf{R}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} - \left[(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + C_{\perp\rho}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} + C_{\rho\rho}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right]\widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \tag{A 14}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = -\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla\ln B + \boldsymbol{\kappa} + \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R}\right), \tag{A 15}$$

with

$$\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\rangle = \frac{J}{m\Omega}\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R} - (\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_\perp\ln B\right].$$

We will also use the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt} &= \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left[\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \left(\mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right]\\
&= \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\;\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\,\tau\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} - (\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{A 16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d_0\mathbf{p}_\perp}{dt} &= \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left[\nabla\mathbf{p}_\perp + \left(\mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}\right]\\
&= -\,\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\;\mathbf{p}_\perp - \frac{1}{2}\,\tau\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta} + (\mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{A 17}$$

A.4. *Dyadic identities*

We conclude this Appendix by presenting the dyadic identity derived from Eq. (A 12):

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} &= (C_{\rho\rho})^2 + (C_{\perp\perp})^2 + 2\,C_{\perp\rho}\,C_{\rho\perp}\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2 - \tau^2\right] + 2\left[(\alpha_1)^2 + 4\;(\alpha_2)^2\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{A 18}$$

which implies that

$$(\alpha_1)^2 + 4\;(\alpha_2)^2 \equiv \left\langle(\alpha_1)^2\right\rangle + 4\left\langle(\alpha_2)^2\right\rangle, \tag{A 19}$$

as is easily demonstrated by noting that the gyroangle-derivative of the left side of Eq. (A 19) vanishes. Next, we note that

$$\left\langle (\alpha_1)^2 \right\rangle \;=\; \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \theta} \right) (\alpha_1) \right\rangle \;=\; 4 \left\langle (\alpha_2)^2 \right\rangle, \tag{A 20}$$

and thus the dyadic identity (A 18) becomes

$$\nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;:\; \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;=\; \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \;-\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \nabla (\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right)^2 \;-\; \tau^2 \right] \;+\; 4 \left\langle (\alpha_1)^2 \right\rangle. \tag{A 21}$$

We will also need the related dyadic identity

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}})^\top \;:\; \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} &= |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 + (C_{\rho\rho})^2 + (C_{\perp\perp})^2 + (C_{\perp\rho})^2 + (C_{\rho\perp})^2 \\ &= |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right] + 4 \left\langle (\alpha_1)^2 \right\rangle \;=\; \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;:\; \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} + |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 + \tau^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A 22}$$

Lastly, we give the expression for the gyrogauge-invariant vector field (Littlejohn, 1981)

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} : \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; (\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2 \right] \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; (\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}})\, \boldsymbol{\kappa} \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \tag{A 23}$$

which yields the relations

$$\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2}\, \nabla \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;-\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\, (\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) \right] \;=\; 2 \langle \alpha_1^2 \rangle \;-\; \frac{1}{4} \left[\tau^2 \;+\; \left(\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right)^2 \right], \tag{A 24}$$

from which we obtain an expression for $\langle \alpha_1^2 \rangle$.

Appendix B. Calculations of Operators D_1 and D_1^2

In this Appendix, we apply the operators D_1 and D_1^2 on the vectors $p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_\perp$, whose expressions are used in Sec. 5: $D_1(\mathbf{P}_2) \equiv D_1(p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) + \frac{1}{2}\, D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)$; in Sec. 6: $D_1(\mathbf{P}_3) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\, D_1(p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) + \frac{1}{3}\, D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)$ and $D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)$; and in Sec. 7: $D_1(\mathbf{P}_4) \equiv \frac{1}{3}\, D_1(p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) + \frac{1}{4}\, D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)$ and $D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4)$. These operators are also needed in Apps. C-D and F.

B.1. *Operators D_1 and D_1^2 acting on $p_\parallel \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$*

We begin with the operators D_1 and D_1^2 acting on $p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$. First, we use the expression

$$D_1 \left(p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) \;=\; \left(G_1^{p_\parallel} \;+\; p_\parallel\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \right) \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; p_\parallel\, \tau\, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \;=\; J \left(\tau \;+\; \alpha_1 \right) \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;+\; \varrho_\parallel\, \tau\, \mathbf{p}_\perp, \tag{B 1}$$

from which we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \langle D_1 (p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) \rangle &= J\, \tau\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \\ D_1 (p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 &= 0 \\ D_1 (p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) \cdot \partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 / \partial \theta &= 2\, J\, \varrho_\parallel\, \tau \end{aligned} \right\}, \tag{B 2}$$

and, using Eq. (6.23), we obtain

$$\left\langle D_1 \left(p_\parallel\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial G_2^{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle = \frac{J^2}{m\Omega}\, \langle \alpha_1^2 \rangle \;+\; \frac{3}{2}\, J\, \varrho_\parallel^2 \tau^2. \tag{B 3}$$

Second, from the definition (3.19), we use the expression

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^2\left(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right) = & \left[J\,\tau\,(\tau+\alpha_1)\;+\;\tau\left(G_1^{p_\parallel}\;+\;\frac{p_\parallel}{2J}\,G_1^J\right)\;+\;\varrho_\parallel B\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\frac{\tau}{B}\,\mathbf{p}_\perp\right)\right]\;\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \\ & -\;\left(p_\parallel\,\tau\,G_1^\theta\right)\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\;+\;\left[G_1^J\;(\tau+\alpha_1)\;-\;4\,J\,\alpha_2\,G_1^\theta\;-\;\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{p}_\perp\right. \\ & \left.-\;J\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times\left[(\tau+\alpha_1)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right]\right]\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \end{aligned} \tag{B 4}$$

to obtain

$$\left\langle D_1^2\left(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} = -\,2\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\left(\tau^2\;+\;\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\;-\;\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{R}\right), \tag{B 5}$$

$$\left\langle D_1^2\left(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle = \left(\frac{4\,J^2}{m\Omega}-J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\right)\tau^2, \tag{B 6}$$

B.2. *Operators D_1 and D_1^2 acting on $\mathbf{p}_\perp$*

Next, we consider the operators D_1 and D_1^2 acting on $\mathbf{p}_\perp$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp) = & \,\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}_\perp\;+\;2\,J\,\mathbf{R}\;=\;J\left[2\,\mathbf{R}\;-\;(\tau+2\,\alpha_1)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right]\;+\;g_1^J\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J}\;+\;g_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta} \\ = & \,J\left[2\,\mathbf{R}\;-\;(\tau+2\,\alpha_1)\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right]\;+\;\left[\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\;-\;J\,\varrho_\parallel\,(\tau+\alpha_1)\right]\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J} \\ & +\;\left[\varrho_\parallel\alpha_2\;+\;\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B\;+\;\frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{2m\Omega\,J}\right)\right]\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}, \end{aligned} \tag{B 7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^2(\mathbf{p}_\perp) = & \,2\,G_1^J\,\mathbf{R}\;-\;\left[G_1^J\;\left(\tau\;+\;2\,\alpha_1\right)\;-\;8\,J\alpha_2\,G_1^\theta\right]\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\;+\;\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\times\nabla\times\left[D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\right] \\ & +\;\left[\left(G_1^a\,\partial_a g_1^J\right)-2\,J\,g_1^\theta\,G_1^\theta-\frac{1}{2J}\,g_1^J\,G_1^J\right]\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J} \\ & +\;\left[\left(G_1^a\,\partial_a g_1^\theta\right)+\frac{1}{2J}\left(g_1^J\,G_1^\theta+g_1^\theta\,G_1^J\right)\right]\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}, \end{aligned} \tag{B 8}$$

from which we obtain

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \langle D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\rangle & = & J\,(2\mathbf{R}-\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\;-\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times(J\,\nabla\ln B+p_\parallel\,\varrho_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \\ D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 & = & -\,2\,J\,G_1^\theta \\ D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\cdot\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0/\partial\theta & = & 2\,J\,\mathbf{R}\cdot\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0/\partial\theta+(G_1^J-J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B) \end{array}\right\}, \tag{B 9}$$

and

$$\left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\right\rangle \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} = 2\,\langle G_1^J\rangle\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{R} \,-\, \left\langle G_1^J\,(\tau + 2\,\alpha_1) \,-\, 8\,J\alpha_2\,G_1^\theta\right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times[D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)]\right\rangle = J\,\varrho_\parallel\left(\frac{3}{2}\,\tau^2 \,+\, 6\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \,-\, 3\,\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{R}\right), \tag{B 10}$$

$$\left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle = 2\left\langle G_1^J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R} + 2\,J\,\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega}\cdot\nabla\times\langle D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\rangle + \left\langle \left(G_1^a\,\partial_a g_1^J\right) - 2\,J\,g_1^\theta\,G_1^\theta - \frac{1}{2J}\,g_1^J\,G_1^J\right\rangle, \tag{B 11}$$

$$\left\langle D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\cdot\frac{\partial G_2^{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle = -\,\frac{2\,J^2}{m\Omega}\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \,-\, J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\tau^2 + \left\langle J\,\left(g_1^\theta\right)^2 \,+\, \frac{1}{4J}\,\left(g_1^J\right)^2 \,+\, g_1^J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle, \tag{B 12}$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\langle D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\rangle = 2\,J\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} \,-\, J\,\tau^2 \,-\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\left(J\,\nabla\ln B \,+\, \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{m\Omega}\right)\right],$$

$$\left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times[D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)]\right\rangle = \left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\times\left(g_1^J\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J} \,+\, g_1^\theta\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle = -\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\left(\frac{\tau^2}{2} \,+\, 2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \,-\, \tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{R}\right).$$

B.3. *Calculation of* $F_{1\alpha} = (\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_4)/\partial u^\alpha)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$

Lastly, in Sec. 7 and App. C, we need

$$F_{1p_\parallel} \;=\; \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_4)}{\partial p_\parallel}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial p_\parallel}, \tag{B 13}$$

$$F_{1J} \;=\; \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_4)}{\partial J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = -\,\frac{1}{2}\left(J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial J} \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^\theta\right) \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R}, \tag{B 14}$$

$$F_{1\theta} \;=\; \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_4)}{\partial\theta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = -\,\frac{1}{2}\left(J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta} \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J\right) \,-\, \frac{2}{3}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\tau, \tag{B 15}$$

and Eq. (7.11) makes use of the following expressions

$$\frac{\partial F_{1\theta}}{\partial p_\parallel} \,-\, \frac{\partial F_{1p_\parallel}}{\partial\theta} = -\,\frac{1}{4}\,\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial p_\parallel} \,-\, \frac{2}{3}\,\frac{J\tau}{m\Omega}, \tag{B 16}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{1\theta}}{\partial J} \,-\, \frac{\partial F_{1J}}{\partial\theta} = -\,\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial J} \,+\, \frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right) \,-\, \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\mathbf{R} \,-\, \frac{2}{3}\,\varrho_\parallel\tau. \tag{B 17}$$

Appendix C. Second-order Calculations for $\langle G_2^J\rangle$

In this Appendix, we present the detailed calculations leading to the gyroangle-averaged component $\langle G_2^J\rangle$ used in the second-order Hamiltonian constraint (6.31).

Equation (7.11) defines the gyroangle-averaged component $\langle G_2^J \rangle$:

$$\langle G_2^J \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\partial G_2^{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot D_1(\mathbf{P}_2) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle G_1^J \frac{\partial G_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} - G_1^\theta \frac{\partial G_1^J}{\partial \theta} + \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} G_1^J \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4) \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} F_{1\theta} - G_1^a \frac{\partial F_{1a}}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle, \tag{C 1}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial G_2^{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \theta} = \left(\frac{J \alpha_1}{m\Omega} - 2 \varrho_\parallel \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \right) \widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \varrho_\parallel \tau \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial \theta} - 2J g_1^\theta \right) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2J} g_1^J \right) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta}, \tag{C 2}$$

where $g_1^J \equiv G_1^J - J \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \ln B$ and $g_1^\theta \equiv G_1^\theta + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R}$. We now compute each term respectively. The first and third terms are

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\partial G_2^{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot D_1(\mathbf{P}_2) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} J \varrho_\parallel^2 \tau^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle J \left(g_1^\theta \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4J} \left(g_1^J \right)^2 + g_1^J \frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle, \tag{C 3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_4) \right\rangle = \left(\frac{2J^2}{3m\Omega} - \frac{1}{2} J \varrho_\parallel^2 \right) \tau^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \left(G_1^J + \frac{1}{2} g_1^J \right) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} + J g_1^\theta \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right\rangle \cdot \mathbf{R} + \frac{J \widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{4m\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \langle D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp) \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \left\langle J \left(g_1^\theta \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4J} \left(g_1^J \right)^2 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{8} \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel} \frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial p_\parallel} + G_1^J \frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial J} - g_1^J \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \ln B + \frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \right\rangle, \tag{C 4}$$

while the second and fourth terms are

$$\frac{1}{4} \left\langle G_1^J \frac{\partial G_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} - G_1^\theta \frac{\partial G_1^J}{\partial \theta} + \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} G_1^J \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left\langle G_1^J \left(\frac{3}{2} \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \ln B + \frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial J} + \frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \right\rangle - \frac{1}{4} \left\langle G_1^J \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle \cdot \mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel} \frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial p_\parallel} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla G_1^J \right\rangle, \tag{C 5}$$

and

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} F_{1\theta} - G_1^a \frac{\partial F_{1a}}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle = - \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \left(J \frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2} g_1^J \right) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle G_1^J \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle \cdot \mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{3} \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel} \frac{J\tau}{m\Omega} + G_1^J \varrho_\parallel \tau \right\rangle + \frac{1}{8} \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel} \frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial p_\parallel} + G_1^J \left(\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial J} + \frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \right\rangle, \tag{C 6}$$

so that Eq. (C 1) becomes

$$\langle G_2^J\rangle = \frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\frac{2J^2}{m\Omega} \,+\, J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\right)\tau^2 \,+\, \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\frac{J\,\tau}{m\Omega} \,+\, G_1^J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\right\rangle\right] \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial p_\parallel}\right\rangle \qquad (C\,7)$$
$$+\,\frac{J\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{4\,m\Omega}\cdot\nabla\times\langle D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\left\langle\left(G_1^J \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \,+\, J\,g_1^\theta\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right\rangle\cdot\mathbf{R}$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle G_1^J\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B + \frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right) + 2\,\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial J}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J\left(\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\right)\right\rangle$$
$$-\,\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\left(G_1^J \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J \,+\, J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle$$

We now use the identity $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla A = \nabla\cdot(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\,A) - A\,(\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0)$, where $\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$ is given by Eq. (A 15), so that we obtain

$$-\,\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\left(G_1^J \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J \,+\, J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle$$
$$= -\,\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\left(G_1^J \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J \,+\, J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right)\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\ln B \,+\, \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right\rangle$$
$$-\,\frac{1}{4}\nabla\cdot\left\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\,\left(G_1^J \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J \,+\, J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle$$
$$-\,\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\left(G_1^J \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} \,+\, J\,g_1^\theta\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right\rangle\cdot\mathbf{R}. \qquad (C\,8)$$

By substituting this expression into Eq. (C 7), we obtain

$$\langle G_2^J\rangle = \frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\frac{2J^2}{m\Omega} \,+\, J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\right)\tau^2 \,+\, \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\frac{J\,\tau}{m\Omega} \,+\, G_1^J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau\right\rangle\right]$$
$$+\,\frac{J\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{4\,m\Omega}\cdot\nabla\times\langle D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\rangle \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial p_\parallel}\right\rangle$$
$$-\,\frac{1}{4}\nabla\cdot\left\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\,\left(G_1^J \,+\, \frac{1}{2}\,g_1^J \,+\, J\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle$$
$$+\,\frac{1}{4}\left\langle G_1^J\left[\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \,-\, \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \,+\, \frac{3}{2}\,\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta} \,+\, 2\,\frac{\partial g_1^J}{\partial J}\right]\right\rangle$$
$$+\,\frac{1}{8}\left\langle g_1^J\left[\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta} \,-\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \,+\, \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right]\right\rangle$$
$$-\,\frac{J}{4}\left\langle\frac{\partial g_1^\theta}{\partial\theta}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\ln B \,+\, \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right\rangle. \qquad (C\,9)$$

We now substitute the definitions of the generating vector-field components and we obtain

$$\langle G_2^J\rangle = \frac{J^2}{2m\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \,+\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} \,-\, \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \,-\, \frac{1}{2}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right]$$
$$-\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot(3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa} - \nabla\ln B) \,+\, \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \,-\, \tau^2\right], \qquad (C\,10)$$

which is then used in Eq. (7.12).

Appendix D. Calculation Details for $(p_\parallel/m)\,\Pi_{2\parallel} - \Psi_2$

The second-order Lagrangian constraint (9.23) is given in Sec. 9 as

$$m\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\,\Pi_{2\parallel} - \Psi_2\right) + m\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\frac{\partial \mathbf{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel} = \left(m\,\frac{d_0\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle}{dt}\;+\;\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\rangle\right)\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + p_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle - \left\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{p}_\perp\right\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \mathsf{G}_1\cdot\;\mathsf{d}\,(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p})\right\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \tag{D 1}$$

which contains five terms that are calculated in this Appendix. The first term is

$$\begin{aligned} m\,\frac{d_0\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle}{dt}\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} &= \left(p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle\right)\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;=\; p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\left(\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right) \;-\; p_\parallel\,\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \\ &= -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\left\{\nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \;-\; 3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\right\} \;+\; p_\parallel\,\varrho_\parallel^2|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \\ &\quad -\,\varrho_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_1, \end{aligned} \tag{D 2}$$

where $\partial(\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})/\partial p_\parallel = 0$ follows from Eq. (10.4). For the second term in Eq. (D 1), we use Eq. (6.28):

$$\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\left\langle D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\right\rangle \;=\; -\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right). \tag{D 3}$$

The third term in Eq. (D 1) is

$$p_\parallel\,\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;=\; -\,\varrho_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_1 \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\left(J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B \;+\; p_\parallel\,\varrho_\parallel^2|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\right), \tag{D 4}$$

where we substituted Eq. (6.24), while the fourth term in Eq. (D 1) is

$$\begin{aligned} -\,\left\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{p}_\perp\right\rangle &= -\,\left\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,(\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\mathbf{p}_\perp) \;-\; C_{\rho\perp}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta} \;+\; C_{\perp\perp}\,\mathbf{p}_\perp\right]\right\rangle \\ &= -\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left\langle\mathbf{p}_\perp\,G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\right\rangle \;-\; 2\,J\,(\varrho_\parallel\,\tau)\,\langle C_{\rho\perp}\rangle \\ &\quad -\,\frac{1}{2}\,\left\langle C_{\rho\perp}\;\left(G_1^J - J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\right)\right\rangle \;-\; J\,\left\langle C_{\perp\perp}\;\left(G_1^\theta \;+\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R}\right)\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{D 5}$$

Here, using Eq. (6.20), we find $-\langle G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathbf{p}_\perp\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} = -\,2\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2$, using Eq. (A 12), we find $-\,2\,J\,(\varrho_\parallel\,\tau)\,\langle C_{\rho\perp}\rangle = J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\tau^2$, while using Eqs. (6.14) and (6.21), we obtain

$$-\,\frac{1}{2}\,\left\langle C_{\rho\perp}\;\left(G_1^J - J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\right)\right\rangle \;=\; -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 + \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right),$$

and

$$-\,J\left\langle C_{\perp\perp}\;\left(G_1^\theta + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\mathbf{R}\right)\right\rangle \;=\; -\,2\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\langle\alpha_2^2\rangle \;\equiv\; -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle,$$

so that Eq. (D 5) becomes

$$-\,\left\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{p}_\perp\right\rangle \;=\; J\,\varrho_\parallel\left(\frac{3}{4}\,\tau^2 \;-\; 2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right). \tag{D 6}$$

Lastly, for the fifth term in Eq. (D 1), we begin with the identity

$$\left\langle \mathsf{G}_1\cdot\;\mathsf{d}\,(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p})\right\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;\equiv\; \left\langle \mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\left[(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p})\cdot\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right]\right\rangle \;+\; \left\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\;(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\mathbf{p})\right\rangle,$$

where

$$\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{p} = \left(G_1^{p_\parallel} \, + \, 2\, J\, C_{\rho\perp} \right) \, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \, + \, \left(G_1^J \, - \, J \, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \ln B \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial J} + \left(G_1^\theta \, + \, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial \theta} \, - \, p_\parallel \, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \tag{D 7}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \equiv C_{\rho\rho} \, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + C_{\rho\perp} \, \partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 / \partial \theta$. First, we find

$$- \, \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} \left[(\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}) \cdot \, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right] \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel} \, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \, + \, G_1^J \, \alpha_1 \, + \, J \, G_1^\theta \, \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle + p_\parallel \left(\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \, \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right\rangle \, - \, \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right\rangle \right), \tag{D 8}$$

where we used $(\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}) \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} = G_1^{p_\parallel} + 2\, J\, C_{\rho\perp} = - \, p_\parallel \, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} - J \, \alpha_1$. By using

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right\rangle = \frac{J}{m\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \, + \, \nabla_\perp \ln B \right] \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \, \varrho_\parallel^2 \, \boldsymbol{\kappa},$$

and

$$- \, \frac{1}{2} \, p_\parallel \, \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right\rangle \, = \, - \frac{1}{2} \, J \, \varrho_\parallel \, \left(\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\kappa} \, \equiv \, - \frac{1}{2} \, J \, \varrho_\parallel \, \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \, + \, |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \right),$$

Eq. (D 8) becomes

$$- \, \frac{1}{2} \, \left\langle \mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d} \left[(\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}) \cdot \, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right] \right\rangle = J \, \varrho_\parallel \left(\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \nabla \ln B - |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} - \langle \alpha_1^2 \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2} \, p_\parallel \, \varrho_\parallel^2 |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2. \tag{D 9}$$

Next, we find

$$- \, \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \, (\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}) \right\rangle = - \, \frac{1}{2} \left\langle C_{\rho\rho} \, \left[\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot (\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}) \right] \, + \, C_{\rho\perp} \, \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot (\mathsf{G}_1 \cdot \mathsf{d}\mathbf{p}) \right] \right\rangle = J \, \varrho_\parallel \left(\langle \alpha_1^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \left(\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right)^2 \right). \tag{D 10}$$

If we now combine Eqs (D 2)-(D 6), and (D 9)-(D 10) into Eq. (D 1), we obtain

$$m \, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel} \left(\frac{p_\parallel}{m} \, \Pi_{2\parallel} - \Psi_2 \right) + m \, \mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1}{\partial p_\parallel} = 2 \, p_\parallel \, \varrho_\parallel^2 \, |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \, - \, 2 \, \varrho_\parallel \, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 - J \, \varrho_\parallel \, \beta_{2\parallel}, \tag{D 11}$$

where

$$\beta_{2\parallel} \, \equiv \, - \, 3 \, \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \, (\nabla \ln B \, - \, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \, - \, \frac{1}{4} \left[\tau^2 \, + \, (\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2 \right] \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \, \nabla \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\kappa} \, + \, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \, (\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}}) \right].$$

We note that this equation is consistent with Eq. (7.13).

Appendix E. Comparison with Previous Higher-order Guiding-center Theories

In this Appendix, we compare our results (7.16) with previous higher-order guiding-center theories derived by Parra & Calvo (2011), Burby *et al.* (2013), and Parra *et al.* (2014). In these works, the polarization term $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$ is ignored and, consequently, these theories are incomplete as discussed in Sec. 10.

For the purpose of comparison, we summarize our results here for the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian

$$\Psi_{2(\mathrm{BT})} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\,J\,\Omega\left(\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BT})} \;+\; \varrho_{\parallel}^{2}\,\beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BT})}\right) \;-\; \frac{p_{\parallel}^{2}}{2m}\,\varrho_{\parallel}^{2}|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^{2} \;+\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{gc}}, \tag{E 1}$$

where

$$\beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BT})} = -\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^{2} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} + \langle\alpha_{1}^{2}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) - \left|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right|^{2}, \tag{E 2}$$

$$\beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BT})} = -\,2\langle\alpha_{1}^{2}\rangle \;-\; 3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \;+\; \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}. \tag{E 3}$$

By using the identities (A 23)-(A 24), we obtain the following explicit expressions for the Brizard-Tronko coefficients (E 2)-(E 3):

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BT})} = {}& \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) - \left|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right|^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\,\nabla\cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;-\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{8}\left[\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^{2} \;-\; 3\,\tau^{2}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{E 4}$$

$$\beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BT})} = -\,3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\right] - \frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^{2} \;+\; \tau^{2}\right]. \tag{E 5}$$

We will now compare these coefficients with those obtained by Burby *et al.* (2013) and Parra & Calvo (2011). We note, however, that these previous results assume that $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1} \equiv -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ (i.e., $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp} \equiv 0$).

E.1. *Burby, Squire, and Qin results*

While the details of the guiding-center transformation are not explicitly presented in the work of Burby *et al.* (2013), the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian is presented in both the first-order Hamiltonian representation [see Eqs. (30)-(31) of (Burby *et al.* 2013)] and the first-order symplectic representation [see Eqs. (33)-(35) of (Burby *et al.* 2013)]. In the latter case, Burby *et al.* (2013) use the first-order symplectic representation $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1} = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}$, with $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp} \equiv 0$ in agreement with Littlejohn's choice.

The second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian derived by Burby *et al.* (2013) in the first-order symplectic representation is expressed as

$$\Psi_{2(\mathrm{BSQ})} \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{2}\,J\,\Omega\left(\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BSQ})} \;+\; \varrho_{\parallel}^{2}\,\beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BSQ})}\right) \;-\; \frac{p_{\parallel}^{2}}{2m}\,\varrho_{\parallel}^{2}|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^{2}, \tag{E 6}$$

with the second-order coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BSQ})} = {}& \frac{1}{2}\left[|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B|^{2} \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) \;-\; 3\left(|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B|^{2} \;+\; \left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{8}\left[\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; 3\,\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : \left(\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^{\top} \;+\; 3\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^{2} \;+\; 15\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^{2}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{E 7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BSQ})} = {}& \frac{1}{4}\left[3\,\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \;-\; \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : (\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^{\top} \;+\; \left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^{2} \;+\; |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^{2}\right] \\ & - 3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{E 8}$$

By using the identities (A 21)-(A 22), we readily find

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BSQ})} &= \beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BT})} \\ \beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BSQ})} &= \beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BT})} \end{aligned}\right\}. \tag{E 9}$$

Since the Burby-Squire-Qin second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian is exactly equal to ours, it can be concluded that its derivation is based on an identical set of guiding-center coordinates.

E.2. *Parra-Calvo results*

The phase-space transformation derived by Parra & Calvo (2011) proceeds by a standard iterative method that also combines elements of guiding-center and gyrocenter dynamics. This work only considers the first-order symplectic representation $\mathbf{\Pi}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\, J\tau\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}}$ [see Eq. (104)]. The second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian derived by Parra & Calvo (2011) is expressed as

$$\Psi_{2(\mathrm{PC})} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\, J\,\Omega \left(\frac{J}{m\Omega}\, \beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{PC})} + \varrho_\parallel^2\, \beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{PC})} \right) - \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m}\, \varrho_\parallel^2 |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2, \tag{E 10}$$

with the second-order coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{PC})} = {} & \frac{1}{2B}(\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}) : \nabla\nabla\mathbf{B}\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - \frac{3}{2B^2}|\nabla_\perp B|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_\perp\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : (\nabla_\perp\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^\top \\ & - \frac{1}{8}\left[(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2 + \tau^2 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{E 11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{PC})} = {} & -3\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot(\nabla\ln B - \boldsymbol{\kappa}) + \left(\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\perp\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : (\nabla_\perp\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^\top \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\left[3\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2 - \tau^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{E 12}$$

In order to compare the Parra-Calvo second-order Hamiltonian (E 10) with our second-order Hamiltonian, we will need the identities (A 21)-(A 22) and the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} B^{-1}(\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}) : \nabla\nabla\mathbf{B}\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} = {} & |\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B|^2 + \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) - \left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2 \\ & - \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : (\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^\top + |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nabla_\perp\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : (\nabla_\perp\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^\top = \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : (\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^\top - |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 = \nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}} : (\nabla\widehat{\mathsf{b}}) + \tau^2.$$

By using these identities, we obtain the following explicit expressions for the Parra-Calvo coefficients (E 11)-(E 12):

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{PC})} = {} & \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) - \left|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,\nabla\cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \\ & - \frac{1}{8}\left[7\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2 + 3\,\tau^2 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{E 13}$$

$$\beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{PC})} = -3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B - \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\right] - \frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2 + \tau^2\right] \tag{E 14}$$

By comparing Eqs. (E 13)-(E 14) with Eqs. (E 4)-(E 5), we obtain the differences

$$\beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{BT})} - \beta_{2\perp}^{(\mathrm{PC})} = \left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2, \tag{E 15}$$

$$\beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{BT})} - \beta_{2\parallel}^{(\mathrm{PC})} = \nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \;=\; \left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2 \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right). \tag{E 16}$$

In more recent work, Parra *et al.* (2014) showed that the second-order Hamiltonian difference between works of Parra & Calvo (2011) and Burby *et al.* (2013):

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{2(\mathrm{PC})} - \Psi_{2(\mathrm{BSQ})} &= -\,\frac{J^2}{2m}\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\,J\Omega\varrho_\parallel^2\,\nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right]\\ &= -\,\frac{d_0}{dt}\left[\frac{J}{2}\,\varrho_\parallel\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \equiv -\,\frac{d_0\langle\sigma_3\rangle}{dt},\end{aligned} \tag{E 17}$$

could be explained, using our notation, by adding the gyroangle-independent gauge function

$$\langle\sigma_3\rangle \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}) \;=\; \frac{d_0}{dt}\left(\frac{J}{2\,\Omega}\right) \tag{E 18}$$

in Eq. (6.22). Hence, according to Eq. (6.3), this new gauge term introduces the following change in $G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}$, according to Eq. (6.11):

$$G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \;\to\; \overline{G}_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \;\equiv\; G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; \frac{\partial\langle\sigma_3\rangle}{\partial p_\parallel} \;=\; G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; \frac{J}{2\,m\Omega}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right), \tag{E 19}$$

so that Eq. (6.23) yields the change

$$\overline{G}_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;\equiv\; G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \;-\; \frac{J\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})}{2m\,\Omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}, \tag{E 20}$$

and, thus, the new first-order gyroradius is now given as

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_1 \;\equiv\; \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \;+\; \frac{J\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})}{2m\,\Omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}. \tag{E 21}$$

We note that, according to Eq. (10.4), we now find $\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\langle\overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_1\rangle \equiv 0$.

Lastly, the gyroangle-independent gauge function (E 18) also yields the following change in $G_2^{p_\parallel}$, according to Eq. (6.26):

$$G_2^{p_\parallel} \;\to\; \overline{G}_2^{p_\parallel} \;\equiv\; G_2^{p_\parallel} \;+\; \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\langle\sigma_3\rangle \;=\; G_2^{p_\parallel} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel\,\nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right], \tag{E 22}$$

while G_1^J is unchanged, according to Eq. (6.3), because $\partial\langle\sigma_3\rangle/\partial\theta \equiv 0$. With $\overline{\Psi}_2 \equiv \Psi_{2(\mathrm{PC})}$, we immediately note that G_2^J remains unchanged according to Eq. (6.29), and that the Jacobian constraint (8.8) is still satisfied since

$$\frac{1}{B}\,\nabla\cdot\left(\langle\overline{G}_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle\,B\right) \;+\; \frac{\partial\overline{G}_2^{p_\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel} \;=\; \frac{1}{B}\,\nabla\cdot\left(\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle\,B\right) \;+\; \frac{\partial G_2^{p_\parallel}}{\partial p_\parallel}.$$

Hence, by extending the class of Lie-transform perturbation theories with the inclusion of gyroangle-independent gauge functions (i.e., $\langle\sigma_n\rangle \neq 0$) in Sec. 3, we introduce an additional degree of freedom in the equivalence between guiding-center Hamiltonian theories.

Appendix F. Physical Interpretation of the Second-order Guiding-center Hamiltonian Ψ_2

In this Appendix, we provide a physical interpretation of the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian Ψ_2. Using the guiding-center push-forward Lagrangian constraint (see Sec. 9), we begin with the definition of the guiding-center Hamiltonian through the guiding-center push-forward

$$H_{\rm gc} \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|^2}{2m}\right) = \frac{|\mathsf{T}_{\rm gc}^{-1}\mathbf{p}|^2}{2\,m} = \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m} + J\,\Omega + \epsilon^2\,\Psi_2, \tag{F 1}$$

where we are using the first-order symplectic representation $\Psi_1 \equiv 0$. While the definition does not require a gyroangle average, we shall use one here in order to remove terms that will cancel out anyway. Using the identity (9.3), we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm gc} &\equiv \frac{m}{2}\left\langle\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt} + \frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle \\ &= \frac{m}{2}\left(\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\right|^2 + \left\langle\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle\right) + m\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\left\langle\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{F 2}$$

where the guiding-center kinetic energy

$$\frac{m}{2}\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\right|^2 = \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m} + \epsilon^2\left(\frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 + p_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel}\right) \tag{F 3}$$

includes the second-order guiding-center kinetic energy associated with the guiding-center drift velocity and the second-order Baños parallel drift $p_\parallel\,\partial\Psi_2/\partial p_\parallel$, while

$$m\,\frac{d_{\rm gc}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\cdot\left\langle\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right\rangle = \epsilon^2\,p_\parallel\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\frac{d_0\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle}{dt}. \tag{F 4}$$

Lastly, the "gyration" kinetic energy is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{2}\left\langle\left|\frac{d_{\rm gc}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm gc}}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle &= J\,\Omega + \epsilon\left\langle\mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\left(\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} + \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right)\right\rangle \\ &+ \epsilon^2\left\langle\mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\left(\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_2}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial J}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} + \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{dt} + \frac{d_1\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right)\right\rangle \\ &+ \epsilon^2\,\frac{m}{2}\left\langle\left|\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} + \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right|^2\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{F 5}$$

where

$$\left\langle\mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\left(\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial J}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle = 2\,J\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial J}, \tag{F 6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} + \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt} &= \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\left[(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - \alpha_2\right)\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,\alpha_1\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right] \\ &+ \frac{J}{m}\left(\alpha_1\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} - 2\,\mathsf{a}_1\cdot\nabla\ln B\right), \end{aligned} \tag{F 7}$$

Here, it is a simple task to show that the first-order terms vanish

$$\left\langle\mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\left(\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta} + \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right)\right\rangle = 0.$$

Hence, we see that the higher-order terms associated with magnetic nonuniformity enter at the second order.

By combining the remaining components in Eqs. (F 3)-(F 7), we now obtain the second-order equation

$$\Psi_2 \equiv \frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 \;+\; \left(p_\parallel\,\frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel} \;+\; 2\,J\,\frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial J}\right) + \Big[\Psi_{2(A)} \;+\; \Psi_{2(B)} \;+\; \Psi_{2(C)} \;+\; \Psi_{2(D)} \;+\; \Psi_{2(E)}\Big], \tag{F 8}$$

where we defined

$$\Psi_{2(A)} \equiv \frac{m}{2}\;\left\langle \left|\Omega\,\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial \theta} \;+\; \frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right|^2 \right\rangle, \tag{F 9}$$

$$\Psi_{2(B)} \equiv p_\parallel\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\frac{d_0\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle}{dt}, \tag{F 10}$$

$$\Psi_{2(C)} \equiv \left\langle \mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\frac{d_1\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\right\rangle, \tag{F 11}$$

$$\Psi_{2(D)} \equiv \left\langle \mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{dt}\right\rangle, \tag{F 12}$$

$$\Psi_{2(E)} \equiv \left\langle \mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\left(\Omega\,\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_2}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle. \tag{F 13}$$

First, using Eq. (F 7), we find

$$\Psi_{2(A)} = \frac{J^2}{2m}\left(\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;+\; |\nabla_\perp \ln B|^2\right) \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,J\Omega\;\varrho_\parallel^2\left[|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;+\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right]. \tag{F 14}$$

Second, using Eq. (10.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{2(B)} &= \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m}\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\left(\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right) \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle\right] \\ &= -\,\frac{1}{2}\;J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\;\left\{\nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\;\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \;-\; 3\;\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\right\} \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m}\;\varrho_\parallel^2|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \\ &\quad -\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m}\times\left(\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{F 15}$$

Third, using

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d_1\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt} \;\equiv\; \mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\nabla_0^*\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = &-\,J\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\nabla\ln B\;\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\;\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\;\frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial\theta} \\ &-\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\left(C_{\perp\rho}\,\frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial\theta} + C_{\rho\rho}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right)\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}},\end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\Psi_{2(C)} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\cdot\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\mathbf{p}_\perp\right\rangle \;=\; \left(J\,\nabla\times\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;\equiv\; -2\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}. \tag{F 16}$$

Hence, both Eqs. (F 15)-(F 16) contain direct contributions of the polarization term $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\perp}$ to the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian.

Fourth, we find

$$\Psi_{2(D)} = \frac{d_0}{dt}\,\langle \mathbf{p}_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle \;-\; \left\langle \frac{d_0\mathbf{p}_\perp}{dt}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\right\rangle \;=\; -\left\langle \frac{d_0\mathbf{p}_\perp}{dt}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\right\rangle$$
$$= -\,p_\parallel\Omega\,\left[\left\langle (\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tau\,\left\langle \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle\right],$$

where we used $\langle \mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\rangle \;=\; -\,m\Omega\,\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1/\partial\theta\rangle \equiv 0$, and

$$\frac{d_0\mathbf{p}_\perp}{dt} \;\equiv\; \frac{p_\parallel}{m}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla_0^*\mathbf{p}_\perp \;=\; -\,\frac{p_\parallel}{m}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\;\mathbf{p}_\perp \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tau\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{p}_\perp}{\partial\theta} \;+\; (\mathbf{p}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right].$$

Here,

$$-\,p_\parallel\,\Omega\,\left\langle (\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle \;=\; -\,2\,J\,\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\,p_\parallel\,\Omega\,\tau\,\left\langle \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta}\right\rangle \;=\; -\,\frac{1}{2}\,J\,\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\tau^2.$$

Hence, we find

$$\Psi_{2(D)} \;=\; -\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2\right). \tag{F 17}$$

Lastly, we write

$$\Psi_{2(E)} = m\Omega^2\,\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_2\rangle \;\equiv\; \Psi_{2(E)}^{(1)} + \Psi_{2(E)}^{(2)} + \Psi_{2(E)}^{(3)}, \tag{F 18}$$

where

$$\Psi_{2(E)}^{(1)} \;\equiv\; -\,m\Omega^2\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot G_3^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\,p_\parallel\Omega\left\langle\left(\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\;G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} - \tau\;(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot G_2^{\mathbf{x}})\right\rangle$$
$$+\;\left\langle\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\left[D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)+\nabla\sigma_3\right]\right\rangle, \tag{F 19}$$

which makes use of $G_3^{\mathbf{X}}$,

$$\Psi_{2(E)}^{(2)} \equiv -\,m\Omega^2\left\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(\mathsf{G}_2\cdot\;\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right)\right\rangle \;=\; -\,\frac{m\Omega^2}{2}\;\left\langle\mathsf{G}_2\cdot\mathsf{d}\left(\frac{2\,J}{m\Omega}\right)\right\rangle \tag{F 20}$$
$$= -\,\Omega\,\langle G_2^J\rangle \;+\; J\,\Omega\,\langle G_2^{\mathbf{x}}\rangle\cdot\nabla\ln B,$$

which makes use of the components of G_2, and

$$\Psi_{2(E)}^{(3)} \equiv \frac{1}{6}\,m\Omega^2\left\langle\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left[\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\;\mathsf{d}\,(\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\right]\right\rangle \tag{F 21}$$
$$= \frac{1}{6}\,B\Omega\left\langle\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\left[B^{-1}\;\left(G_1^J \;+\; J\;\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\right)\right]\right\rangle \;-\; \frac{1}{6}\,m\,\Omega^2\left\langle|\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_0|^2\right\rangle.$$

In Eq. (F 19), we find

$$-\;p_\parallel\Omega\left\langle\left(\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\;G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} - \tau\;(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot G_2^{\mathbf{x}})\right\rangle \;=\; -\,J\,\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;-\; \frac{3}{2}\,\tau^2\right). \tag{F 22}$$

Using Eq. (6.22), we also find

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\nabla\sigma_3 \right\rangle &= \nabla\cdot\left\langle \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\,\sigma_3\right\rangle \,-\, \left\langle \sigma_3\,\left(\nabla\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle \\ &= -\,\frac{1}{3}\,p_\parallel\left[\nabla\cdot\left\langle\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\,G^{\mathbf{x}}_{2\parallel}\right\rangle \,-\, \left\langle G^{\mathbf{x}}_{2\parallel}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\ &= -\,\frac{2}{3}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[B^2\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{B^2}\right)\,+\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\ln B\,+\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\,+\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{F 23}$$

Next, we need

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot D_1^2(\mathbf{P}_3)\right\rangle &= \frac{2J}{m\Omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\langle D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\rangle \,+\, \left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle \\ &\quad+\,\left\langle G_1^J\,\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial J}\left(D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\,-\,D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J\partial\theta}\right]\right\rangle \\ &\quad+\,\left\langle G_1^\theta\,\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\,+\,D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right]\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\rangle &= \frac{1}{3}\,J\left(2\,\mathbf{R}\,+\,\frac{1}{2}\,\tau\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\,-\,\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{3}\times\left(J\,\nabla\ln B\,+\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right), \\ D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta} &= \frac{1}{3}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel(2\,\tau-\alpha_1)\,+\,\frac{1}{3}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\left(2\,J\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R}\,+\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right), \\ D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 &= \frac{1}{3}\,D_1(\mathbf{p}_\perp)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\,=\,-\,\frac{2}{3}\,J\,G_1^\theta.\end{aligned}$$

First, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2J}{m\Omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\langle D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\rangle &= \frac{J^2}{3\,m\Omega}\,\left(4\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}\,+\,\tau^2\right) \\ &\quad-\,\frac{2J}{3\,m\Omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\left(J\,\nabla\ln B\,+\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{F 24}$$

Next, we find

$$\left\langle G_1^{p_\parallel}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel}\left(D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\rangle = \frac{J^2}{3\,m\Omega}\,\left(2\,\tau^2\,-\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right)\,-\,\frac{2}{3}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2, \tag{F 25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle G_1^J\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial J}\left(D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)-D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J\partial\theta}\right]\right\rangle \\ &\qquad=\,-\,\frac{1}{6}\,J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(2\,\tau^2\,-\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right) \\ &\qquad\quad+\,\frac{2\,J}{3\,m\Omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R}\cdot\left(J\,\nabla\ln B\,+\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{F 26}$$

and

$$\left\langle G_1^\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right) + D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right]\right\rangle = \frac{1}{6}J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle - 2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\right) - \frac{2\,J^2}{3\,m\Omega}\,\nabla\ln B\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B + \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R}\right) \tag{F 27}$$

Hence, by combining Eqs. (F 22)-(F 27) into Eq. (F 19), we obtain

$$\Psi_{2(E)}^{(1)} = \frac{J^2}{3\,m}\left[4\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} + 3\,\tau^2 - \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle - 2\,|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B|^2 - 2\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right] - \frac{1}{3}\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[4\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} - 4\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B + 12\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 - \frac{7}{2}\,\tau^2 - \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right], \tag{F 28}$$

where the gyrogauge-dependent terms cancel out. Next, using the definition (6.31), Eq. (F 20) can be expressed as

$$\Psi_{2(E)}^{(2)} = \Psi_2 + \frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} - J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 - \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right) + J\,\Omega\,\nabla\ln B\cdot\left[-\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\nabla_\perp\ln B + \varrho_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right] \tag{F 29}$$

Lastly, the two terms in Eq. (F 21) are

$$\frac{1}{6}\,B\Omega\left\langle\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\left[B^{-1}\left(G_1^J + J\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\ln B\right)\right]\right\rangle \tag{F 30}$$

$$= \frac{J}{6\,m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\ln B - \boldsymbol{\kappa} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R}\right)\cdot\left(2J\,\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) - \frac{\Omega}{6}\left\{\nabla\cdot\left[\frac{J}{m\Omega}\left(2\,J\,\nabla_\perp\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right] + \frac{J^2}{m\Omega}\left(\tau^2 + \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right) + 2\,J\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\right\} + \frac{1}{6\,m}\left(J\,\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\cdot\left(3J\,\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2\,m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) + \frac{1}{6}\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(\tau^2 + \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right) + \frac{J}{6\,m}\left(\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{2J\,m\Omega} + \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\mathbf{R}\right)\cdot\left(2J\,\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) + \frac{1}{6}\,J\,\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle,$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{6}\,m\,\Omega^2\left\langle|\mathsf{G}_1\cdot\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_0|^2\right\rangle = -\frac{J^2}{6\,m}\left[\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2 + 4\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right] - \frac{1}{12\,m}\left|2\,J\,\nabla\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right|^2 - \frac{1}{12}J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(\tau^2 + 2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right) - \frac{J^2}{3\,m}\left|\nabla_\perp\ln B + \frac{p_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{2J\,m\Omega}\right|^2 \tag{F 31}$$

Hence, combining Eqs. (F 30)-(F 31) into Eq. (F 21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{(3)}_{2(E)} = \frac{J^2}{3\,m}\Big[|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B|^2 \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B \;-\; B\,\nabla\cdot\left(B^{-1}\,\nabla_\perp\ln B\right)\\ -\frac{1}{2}\left(5\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;+\; \tau^2 \;+\; (\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right)\Big] \;+\; \frac{1}{3}\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\Big[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\\ -\frac{1}{2}\,B^2\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{B^2}\right) \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\left(3\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right)\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{F 32}$$

and the gyrogauge-dependent terms have once again cancelled each other.

We now combine Eqs. (F 14)-(F 17), (F 28)-(F 29), and (F 32), so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_2 \equiv \frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 \;+\; p_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel} \;+\; 2\,J\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial J} \;+\; \frac{J^2}{2m}\left(\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;+\; |\nabla_\perp\ln B|^2\right)\\ +\frac{1}{2}\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;+\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right]\\ -\frac{1}{2}\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left\{\nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)\right] \;-\; 3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\right\} \;+\; \frac{p_\parallel^2}{m}\,\varrho_\parallel^2|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\\ -\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m}\times\left(\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) \;-\; 2\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \;-\; J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2\right)\\ +\frac{J^2}{3\,m}\left[4\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}+3\,\tau^2-\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle-2\,|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B|^2-2\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right]\\ -\frac{1}{3}\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[4\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \;-\; 4\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B \;+\; 12\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;-\; \frac{7}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right]\\ +\Psi_2 \;+\; \frac{m}{2}\,|\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}|^2 \;-\; \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}-J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right)\\ +J\,\Omega\,\nabla\ln B\cdot\left[-\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\times\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m\Omega} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{J}{m\Omega}\,\nabla_\perp\ln B \;+\; \varrho_\parallel^2\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\right]\\ +\frac{J^2}{3\,m}\Big[|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B|^2 \;-\; \boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B \;-\; B\,\nabla\cdot\left(B^{-1}\,\nabla_\perp\ln B\right)\\ -\frac{1}{2}\left(5\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle \;+\; \tau^2 \;+\; (\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right)\Big]\\ +\frac{1}{3}\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,B^2\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{B^2}\right) \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\left(3\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2 \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 \;-\; \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\right)\right],\end{aligned}$$

which can be simplified to the final expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\,p_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel} - 2\,J\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial J} &= 2\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m}\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\;-\;4\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc} \\
&+\frac{J^2}{3\,m}\left[5\,|\nabla_\perp\ln B|^2\;-\;2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\;+\;4\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}\;-\;2\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right)\right. \\
&\qquad\left.-\,B\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_\perp\ln B}{B}\right)\;-\;\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\;+\;\frac{1}{2}\left(5\,\tau^2\;-\;(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right)\right] \\
&+\frac{1}{3}\,J\,\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\left[17\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\;-\;\frac{B^2}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{B^2}\right)\;-\;18\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\;-\;4\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right. \\
&\qquad\left.+\,\frac{3}{4}\left(\tau^2\;+\;(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right)\;+\;6\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\;-\;\frac{3}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{F 33}
$$

Here, using Eq. (11.5), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\,p_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel} &= -\,2\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\beta_{2\parallel}\;+\;2\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m}\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\;-\;2\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\left(\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m}\times\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right), \\
-\,2\,J\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial J} &= -\,2\,\frac{J^2}{m}\,\beta_{2\perp}\;-\;2\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\;-\;2\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\left(\frac{\widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{m}\times J\,\nabla\ln B\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
-\,p_\parallel\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial p_\parallel} - 2\,J\,\frac{\partial\Psi_2}{\partial J}\;-\;2\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m}\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\;+\;4\,\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm gc}\;=\;-\,2\,J\Omega\,\varrho_\parallel^2\,\beta_{2\parallel}\;-\;2\,\frac{J^2}{m}\,\beta_{2\perp}.
$$

Hence, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\,6\beta_{2\perp} &= 5\,|\nabla_\perp\ln B|^2\;-\;2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\;+\;4\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}\;-\;2\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) \\
&\quad-\,B\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_\perp\ln B}{B}\right)\;-\;\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\;+\;\frac{1}{2}\left(5\,\tau^2\;-\;(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right) \\
&= -6\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\,\tau^2 - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R} + \langle\alpha_1^2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right) - \left|\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\nabla\ln B\right|^2\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{F 34}
$$

where we used

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\,B\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_\perp\ln B}{B}\right) &= -\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\times\,\ln B\right)\;+\;\nabla\ln B\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\kappa}\;+\;\nabla_\perp\ln B\right) \\
-\,4\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle &= -\,2\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\nabla\times\mathbf{R}\;-\;\frac{1}{2}\left[\tau^2\;+\;\left(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\right)^2\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\,6\,\beta_{2\parallel} &= 17\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B\;-\;\frac{B^2}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{B^2}\right)\;-\;18\,|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2\;-\;4\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa} \\
&\quad+\,\frac{3}{4}\left(\tau^2\;+\;(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right)\;+\;6\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\;-\;\frac{3}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\right] \\
&= -\,6\,\left\{-\,2\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle\;-\;3\,\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\left(\nabla\ln B\;-\;\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right)\;+\;\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{F 35}
$$

where we used

$$-\frac{B^2}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{B^2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}\;+\;\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\nabla\ln B$$
$$6\,\langle\alpha_1^2\rangle=\frac{3}{2}\,\nabla\cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}\;-\;\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})\right]\;+\;\frac{3}{4}\left[\tau^2\;+\;(\nabla\cdot\widehat{\mathsf{b}})^2\right].$$

The equalities (F 34)-(F 35), therefore, validate the push-forward representation (F 2) of the guiding-center Hamiltonian (F 1).

Appendix G. Constraint due to the Guiding-center Toroidal Canonical Momentum

In this last Appendix, we show that the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum $P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi}\equiv\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}P_\varphi$, which is defined as the guiding-center push-forward of the particle toroidal canonical momentum, is explicitly gyroangle-independent, i.e., $P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi}\equiv\langle\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}P_\varphi\rangle$. Hence, the gyroangle-dependent terms in $\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}P_\varphi$ must vanish exactly at all orders in ϵ. The importance of this constraint lies in the fact that, in order for the guiding-center toroidal canonical momentum $P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi}$ to be an exact (and faithful) invariant, it must satisfy the conservation law

$$\frac{d_{\mathrm{gc}}P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi}}{dt}\;\equiv\;\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}\left(\frac{dP_\varphi}{dt}\right)\;=\;0. \tag{G 1}$$

First, we begin with the expression for the particle toroidal canonical momentum $P_\varphi\equiv-e\psi/\epsilon\,c+(m\,d\mathbf{x}/dt)\cdot\partial\mathbf{x}/\partial\varphi$, whose guiding-center push-forward yields

$$P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi}\;=\;-\frac{e}{\epsilon c}\,\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}\psi\;+\;m\left(\frac{d_{\mathrm{gc}}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\;+\;\frac{d_{\mathrm{gc}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{gc}}}{dt}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\partial_{\mathrm{gc}}\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\;+\;\frac{\partial_{\mathrm{gc}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{gc}}}{\partial\varphi}\right), \tag{G 2}$$

where the guiding-center push-forward of ψ is expressed as

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}\psi\;=\;\psi\;+\;\epsilon\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\psi\;+\;\epsilon^2\left[\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\cdot\nabla\psi\;+\;\frac{1}{2}\;(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0):\nabla\nabla\psi\right]\;+\;\cdots, \tag{G 3}$$

the guiding-center push-forward of the particle velocity is

$$\frac{d_{\mathrm{gc}}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\;+\;\frac{d_{\mathrm{gc}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{gc}}}{dt}\;=\;\left(\frac{d_0\mathbf{X}}{dt}\;+\;\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\right)\;+\;\epsilon\left(\frac{d_1\mathbf{X}}{dt}\;+\;\frac{d_0\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{dt}\;+\;\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_1}{\partial\theta}\right)+\cdots, \tag{G 4}$$

and the guiding-center push-forward of $\partial\mathbf{x}/\partial\varphi$ is

$$\frac{\partial_{\mathrm{gc}}\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\;+\;\frac{\partial_{\mathrm{gc}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{gc}}}{\partial\varphi}\;=\;\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{x}}{\partial\varphi}\right)\;=\;\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\;+\;\epsilon\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\varphi}\;+\;\cdots. \tag{G 5}$$

When Eqs. (G 3)-(G 5) are inserted in Eq. (G 2), we obtain the expression (up to second order in ϵ)

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi}=\langle\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1}P_\varphi\rangle\;+\;&\left(m\Omega\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\;-\;\frac{e}{c}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\nabla\psi\right)\\&+\epsilon\,p_\parallel\left[\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\varphi}\;+\;(\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa})\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\;+\;\left(C_{\perp\rho}\,\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial\theta}+C_{\rho\rho}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_0\right)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\right]\\&+\epsilon\,J\left[2(\mathsf{a}_2\cdot\nabla\ln B)\cdot\frac{\nabla\psi}{B}+2\,\frac{\mathsf{a}_2}{B}:\nabla\nabla\psi-2(\mathsf{a}_1\cdot\nabla\ln B)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}+\alpha_1\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{G 6}$$

where gyroangle-dependent terms are shown explicitly up to first order in ϵ_B. Since we

have the identity

$$P_{\mathrm{gc}\varphi} \equiv \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{gc}}^{-1} P_{\varphi} \right\rangle, \tag{G 7}$$

we must, therefore, show that all remaining gyroangle-dependent terms in Eq. (G 6) must vanish identically.

At zeroth order in ϵ_B, we use the magnetic identity

$$\mathbf{B} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \equiv \nabla \psi, \tag{G 8}$$

and obtain

$$\frac{e}{c}\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \psi = m\Omega\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} = m\Omega\, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi},$$

and thus the gyroangle-dependent zeroth-order terms in Eq. (G 6) cancel each other out.

At first order in ϵ_B, we discuss the terms proportional to $p_\parallel$ and J in Eq. (G 6) separately. First, for the $p_\parallel$-terms, using the definitions (A 7), we find

$$C_{\perp\rho}\, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} + C_{\rho\rho}\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \equiv \left(\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathsf{b}}\,\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right) \cdot \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 - (\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}},$$

so that

$$\left[C_{\perp\rho}\, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} + C_{\rho\rho}\, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + (\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \right] \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \nabla \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \equiv \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0,$$

which combines with the remaining $p_\parallel$-term in Eq. (G 6) to yield

$$\epsilon\, p_\parallel \left(\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathsf{b}}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \epsilon\, p_\parallel\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right) \equiv 0,$$

since $\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \equiv 0$.

Next, for the J-terms in Eq. (G 6), we use the identity

$$2\, (\mathsf{a}_2 \cdot \nabla \ln B) \cdot \frac{\nabla \psi}{B} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\widehat{\perp}\widehat{\perp} - \widehat{\rho}\widehat{\rho} \right) \cdot \nabla \ln B \right] \cdot \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} = (\mathsf{a}_1 \cdot \nabla \ln B) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi},$$

to obtain

$$2\, \frac{\mathsf{a}_2}{B} : \nabla\nabla\psi - 2\, (\mathsf{a}_2 \cdot \nabla \ln B) \cdot \frac{\nabla \psi}{B} + \alpha_1\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} = 2\, \mathsf{a}_2 : \nabla \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{B} \right) + \alpha_1\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi}.$$

Here, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2\, \mathsf{a}_2 : \nabla \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{B} \right) = 2\, \mathsf{a}_2 : \nabla \left(\widehat{\mathsf{b}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) &= \mathsf{a}_1 : \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{\perp\rho} + C_{\rho\perp} \right) \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \\ &= \mathsf{a}_1 : \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) - \alpha_1\, \widehat{\mathsf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

which combines with the remaining J-term in Eq. (G 6) to yield

$$\epsilon\, J\, \mathsf{a}_1 : \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) \equiv 0,$$

since a_1 is a symmetric matrix and $\nabla(\partial \mathbf{X}/\partial \varphi)$ is an antisymmetric matrix so that their trace vanishes.

REFERENCES

Banos, A. 1967 The guiding centre approximation in lowest order. *J. Plasma Phys.* **1**, 305.

Brizard, A. J. 2008 On the dynamical reduction of the Vlasov equation. *Comm. Nonlin. Sci. Num. Sim.* **13**, 24–33.

Brizard, A. J. 2013 Beyond linear gyrocenter polarization in gyrokinetic theory. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 092309.

Brizard, A. J. & Hahm, T. S. 2007 Foundations of nonlinear gyrokinetic theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 421–468.

Brizard, A. J. & Tronci, C. 2016 Variational formulations of guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell theory. *Phys. Plasmas* **23**, 062107.

Brizard, A. J. & Tronko, N. 2011 Exact momentum conservation laws for the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations. *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 082307.

Brizard, A. J. & Tronko, N. 2012 Equivalent representations of higher-order Hamiltonian guiding-center theory. *arXiv:1205.5772* .

Burby, J. W., Squire, J. & Qin, H. 2013 Automation of the guiding center expansion. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 072105.

Cary, J. R. & Brizard, A. J. 2009 Hamiltonian theory of guiding-center motion. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 693.

Correa-Restrepo, D., Pfirsch, D. & Wimmel, H. K. 1986 Combined Maxwell and kinetic guiding-center theory with polarization drift: regularized variational formulation with local charge and energy conservation. *Physica* **136A**, 453.

Correa-Restrepo, D. & Wimmel, H. K. 1985 Regularization of Hamilton-Lagrangian guiding center theories. *Phys. Scr.* **32**, 552.

Hahm, T. S., Lee, W. W. & Brizard, A. 1988 Nonlinear gyrokinetic theory for finite-beta plasmas. *Phys. Fluids* **31**, 1940.

Kaufman, A. N. 1986 The electric dipole of a guiding center and the plasma momentum density. *Phys. Fluids* **29**, 1736.

Littlejohn, R. G. 1982 Hamiltonian perturbation theory in noncanonical coordinates. *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 742.

Littlejohn, R. G. 1983 Variational principles of guiding centre motion. *J. Plasma Phys.* **29**, 111.

Parra, F. I. & Calvo, I. 2011 Phase-space Lagrangian derivation of electrostatic gyrokinetics in general geometry. *Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **53**, 045001.

Parra, F. I., Calvo, I., Burby, J. W., Squire, J. & Qin, H. 2014 Equivalence of two independent calculations of the higher order guiding center Lagrangian. *Phys. Plasmas* **21**, 104506.

Pfirsch, D. 1984 New variational formulation of Maxwell-Vlasov and guiding center theories local charge and energy conservation laws. *Zeitschrift Naturforschung Teil A* **39**, 1.

Scott, B. & Smirnov, J. 2010 Energetic consistency and momentum conservation in the gyrokinetic description of tokamak plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 112302.

Tronko, N. & Brizard, A. J. 2015 Lagrangian and Hamiltonian constraints for guiding-center Hamiltonian theories. *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 112507.